



Outcomes and Impact from HRSA HIV/AIDS Special Projects of National Significance Funded Between 1991 and 2002

**Follow-Up from Two to Nine Years
After the Funded Period:
Supplemental Information
Themes Coded by Data Type**

Overview of this Supplement

- This presentation includes supplemental technical information describing the methods and results of the study of long-term outcomes and impact from the HRSA HIV/AIDS Special Projects of National Significance funded between 1991 and 2002
- This section shows each of the major themes [nodes] coded and the percentage of projects for which the theme appeared in each of the types of data analyzed for this study



Description of Results with the Coding Scheme

**427 Automated Keyword-Categories with
“Expert” Verification**

Overview of Results

- The following tables summarize the degree to which grantees documented activities, outcomes, or lessons learned in each of the listed categories
- Percentages reflect the number of projects which had information coded from each of the following data sources
 - Final reports or proxies [N=100]
 - Interviews [N=90]
 - Web sites [N=96]
 - Publication Abstracts [N=56]
 - Web sites or Interviews [N=102]
 - Any source [N=106]

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 8]

Summary Variables Representing Composite of Nodes

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1A. Medical Patient Services Composite [16]	53.3	66.7	39.3	87.0	73.5	91.5
1B. Psychosocial Client Services Composite [22]	86.7	86.5	89.3	100.0	94.1	100.0
1C. Service Enhancements Composite [12]	46.7	62.5	66.1	92.0	71.8	93.4
1D. Provider Types on Staff <u>OR</u> Closely Linked Composite [23]	81.1	67.7	30.4	100.0	84.3	99.1

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 8]

Summary Variables Representing Composite of Nodes

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1E. Provider Types to Whom Referrals Are Made or Received Composite [23]	11.1	17.7	16.1	75.0	26.5	76.4
2. Outreach Composite [8]	44.4	64.5	51.8	84.0	72.5	92.5
3. Training on HIV Composite [13]	68.9	75.0	39.3	93.0	86.3	97.2
3A. Provider Types Trained Composite [23]	30.0	26.0	12.5	84.0	46.1	85.8
4. Infrastructure Development Composite [9]	31.1	46.9	41.1	62.0	54.9	81.1

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 8]

Summary Variables Representing Composite of Nodes

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
5. Professional Development Composite [4]	4.4	18.9	1.8	73.0	21.8	72.6
6. Research <u>OR</u> Evaluation Capacity Composite [8]	38.9	46.9	33.9	91.0	57.8	92.5
7. Develop Enhanced Models Composite [6]	25.8	53.1	73.2	81.0	55.9	84.0
8. Policy for HIV Composite [5]	13.3	22.9	8.9	55.0	31.4	67.0
9. Population Targeted Services [1]	13.3	20.8	42.9	71.0	28.4	79.2

Percent Projects Coded [4 of 8]

Summary Variables Representing Composite of Nodes

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
10. Deal with Diversity Composite [12]	35.6	45.8	51.8	78.0	56.9	86.8
11. Specific Accomplishments Composite [11]	35.6	30.2	37.5	83.0	49.0	84.0
12. Other Dissemination Composite [8]	38.9	39.6	8.9	88.0	58.8	89.6
13. Staff and Students Migrate Composite [5]	13.3	28.1	0.0	49.0	33.3	60.4
14. Awards and Recognitions Composite [3]	18.9	43.8	1.8	53.0	49.0	72.6

Percent Projects Coded [5 of 8]

Summary Variables Representing Composite of Nodes

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
15. Formal or Informal Linkages Composite [5]	70.0	84.4	53.6	99.0	92.2	99.1
16. Leveraging of SPNS Designation Composite [17]	96.7	54.2	1.8	95.0	93.1	95.3
17. Collaborations with RWCA Titles Composite [7]	71.1	14.6	0.0	61.0	65.7	76.4
18. Changes in Recruitment Composite [2]	4.4	2.1	12.5	57.0	5.9	57.5

Percent Projects Coded [6 of 8]

Summary Variables Representing Composite of Nodes

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
19. Underserved Groups Composite [28]	91.1	82.3	69.9	100.0	95.1	100.0
19A. Underserved Groups BY Any Barrier Mentioned Composite [28]	4.4	15.6	35.7	80.0	17.8	77.4
20. Empowerment [1]	10.0	17.7	7.1	36.0	31.8	43.4
21. Connections with Staff and Agency [1]	2.2	2.1	1.8	10.0	3.9	13.2
22. Types of Models Composite [8]	48.9	33.3	62.5	88.0	53.9	86.8

Percent Projects Coded [7 of 8]

Summary Variables Representing Composite of Nodes

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
23. Evaluation Models Composite [20]	57.8	39.6	83.9	99.0	64.7	95.3
24. Lessons Learned Composite [4]	32.2	12.5	39.9	95.0	53.3	90.6
25. Outcome Composite [10]	38.9	12.5	35.7	94.0	43.1	89.6
26. Achievement Composite [5]	2.2	3.1	0.0	77.0	4.9	74.5
27. Adherence Composite [2]	17.8	18.8	16.1	63.0	28.4	66.0
28. Managed Care Composite [8]	20.0	35.4	10.7	68.0	44.1	75.5
29. Legal Advocacy Composite [3]	3.7	10.4	3.6	35.0	12.7	37.7

Percent Projects Coded [8 of 8]

Summary Variables Representing Composite of Nodes

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
30. End of Life Composite [4]	2.2	13.5	10.7	23.0	13.7	30.2
31. Full Continuum of Care [1]	4.4	20.8	16.1	44.0	21.6	48.1
32. Cost of Care [1]	26.7	28.1	16.1	62.0	41.2	69.8
33. Problem/Barrier Composite [26]	14.4	24.0	44.6	92.0	32.4	90.6
34. Miscellaneous Composite [11]	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
35. Generic Provider Types Used for Node Searches Composite [23]	81.1	67.7	30.4	100.0	84.3	99.1



Tree = 1A. Medical Patient Services

Medical services provided by the grantee
to the patient

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 1A. Medical Patient Services

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Combination Therapy	34.0	5.6	3.1	1.0	6.9	34.9
2. OI Prophylaxis	13.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	12.3
3. Alternate Therapy	7.0	0.0	2.1	1.8	2.0	8.5
4. Medical Appointment	20.0	4.4	10.4	7.1	12.7	27.4
5. AZT	16.0	1.1	3.1	5.4	3.9	17.0
6. HIV Lab Tests	42.0	5.6	6.3	21.4	8.8	42.5
7. Pharmacy	33.0	6.7	12.5	1.8	14.7	36.8
8. Health Status Screening	43.0	7.8	31.3	5.4	35.3	60.4

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 1A. Medical Patient Services

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Primary Care	67.0	32.2	44.8	14.3	52.9	74.5
10. Ophthalmology	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.9
11. Radiology	4.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	4.7
12. Phlebotomy	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4
13. Colposcopy	2.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	2.9	4.7
14. Specialty Care	36.0	32.2	50.0	12.5	57.8	60.4
15. Doctor Visit	12.0	5.6	2.1	0.0	6.9	15.1
16. Physician Visit	21.0	3.3	6.3	3.6	8.8	26.4
17. Any of the Above	87.0	53.3	66.7	39.3	73.5	91.5



Tree = 1B. Psychosocial Client Services

Mental health or supportive services
provided by the grantee to the client

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 4]

Tree = 1B. Psychosocial Client Services

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Peer Support	38.0	10.0	16.7	10.7	22.5	42.5
2. Family Therapy	32.0	3.3	20.8	0.0	21.6	45.3
3. Aftercare	11.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	2.9	11.3
4. Education	85.0	17.8	59.4	16.1	61.8	85.8
5. Substance Abuse Treatment	68.0	41.1	55.2	16.1	67.6	83.0
6. Peer Counseling	27.0	8.9	14.6	16.1	17.6	32.1
7. Prevention	76.0	30.0	53.1	32.1	61.8	82.1
8. Vocational Training	20.0	2.2	7.3	1.8	7.8	22.6

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 4]

Tree = 1B. Psychosocial Client Services

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Recreation	30.0	2.2	18.8	3.6	17.6	34.0
10. Relapse Prevention	14.0	1.1	5.2	0.0	4.9	15.1
11. Counseling Not Peer	88.0	3.3	63.5	32.1	62.7	92.5
12. Mental Health	77.0	33.3	34.4	19.6	52.0	78.3
13. Psychosocial Service	53.0	2.2	14.6	37.5	15.7	63.2
14. Transportation	41.0	3.3	15.6	1.8	17.6	46.2
15. Case Management	75.0	31.1	41.7	23.2	55.9	82.1

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 4]

Tree = 1B. Psychosocial Client Services

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
16. Perinatal Transmission Counseling	3.6	7.0	4.4	3.1	6.9	10.4
17. AZT HAART Counseling	2.0	18.9	1.0	0.0	16.7	16.0
18. Care Plan	26.0	0.0	7.3	0.0	6.9	28.3
19. Risk Reduction Counseling	38.0	7.8	24.0	7.1	24.5	46.2
20. Client Needs Assessment	65.0	7.8	24.0	1.8	28.4	70.8
21. Pastoral Counseling	1.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	2.0	2.8
22. Nutritional Counseling	9.0	0.0	9.4	0.0	8.8	13.2

Percent Projects Coded [4 of 4]

Tree = 1B. Psychosocial Client Services

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
23. Any of the Above	100.0	86.7	86.5	89.3	94.1	100.0



Tree = 1C. Service Enhancements

Activities to improve the quality, quantity,
and access to services provided by the
grantee

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 1C. Service Enhancements

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Integrated Service	53.0	18.9	13.5	7.1	23.5	54.7
2. Comprehensive Service	63.0	12.2	44.8	33.9	49.0	75.5
3. One Stop Shopping	12.0	1.1	2.1	0.0	2.9	14.2
4. Quality Improvement	13.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	4.9	14.2
5. Quality Assurance	29.0	1.1	2.1	1.8	2.9	30.2
6. Retention	30.0	6.7	1.0	14.3	6.9	38.7
7. Multidisciplinary Services	25.0	3.3	4.2	14.3	6.9	30.2

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 1C. Service Enhancements

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
8. Continuity of Care	37.0	0.0	5.2	3.6	4.9	36.8
9. Quality of Life Assessment	47.0	6.7	24.0	28.6	26.5	60.4
10. Client Satisfaction Survey	54.0	3.3	1.0	21.4	3.9	54.7
11. Comprehensive Care	52.0	6.7	40.6	50.0	41.2	63.2
12. Integrated Care	37.0	15.6	11.5	12.5	22.5	38.7
13. Any of the Above	92.0	46.7	62.5	66.1	71.8	93.4



Tree = 1D. Provider Types on Staff OR Closely Linked

Types of providers who how gave direct services to clients as part of the project

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 3]

Tree = 1D. Provider Types on Staff OR Closely Linked

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Physician	31.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	29.2
2. Nurse not Practitioner	70.0	22.2	17.7	7.1	31.4	73.6
3. Case Manager	77.0	36.7	17.7	12.5	41.2	81.1
4. Nurse Case Manager	36.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.0
5. Peer Counselor	26.0	5.6	10.4	3.6	13.7	29.2
6. Nutritionist	21.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	2.9	20.8
7. Psychologist	21.0	13.3	1.0	0.0	12.7	27.4
8. Psychiatrist	34.0	12.2	3.1	0.0	13.7	37.7

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 3]


Tree = 1D. Provider Types on Staff OR Closely Linked

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Counselor Not Peer	42.0	12.2	22.9	0.0	28.4	54.7
10. Private Practice	42.0	5.6	1.0	0.0	5.9	40.6
11. Physician Assistant	18.0	2.2	3.1	0.0	3.9	18.9
12. Nurse Practitioner	28.0	14.4	0.0	1.8	12.7	31.1
13. Social Worker	49.0	18.9	20.8	8.9	31.4	58.5
14. Dentist	39.0	7.8	21.9	0.0	21.6	49.1
15. Outreach Worker	44.0	12.2	12.5	1.8	18.6	49.1
16. Pharmacist	24.0	1.1	3.1	0.0	3.9	25.5

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 3]

Tree = 1D. Provider Types on Staff OR Closely Linked

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
17. Pediatrician	1.0	2.2	19.8	1.8	18.6	18.9
18. Research Assistant	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
19. Data Collection Clerk	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5
20. Data Entry Clerk	43.0	25.6	4.2	8.9	24.5	48.1
21. Medical Intern	1.0	2.2	5.2	1.8	6.9	8.5
22. Medical Resident	15.0	2.2	1.0	0.0	2.9	16.0
23. Evaluator	53.0	34.4	39.6	7.1	52.0	70.8
24. Any of the Above	100.0	81.1	67.7	30.4	84.3	99.1



Tree = 1E. Provider Types to Whom Referrals Are Made or Received

Types of providers to whom the grantee referred clients

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 3]

Tree = 1E. Provider Types to Whom Referrals Are Made or Received [Referral Network]

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Physician	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	96.2
2. Nurse Not Practitioner	26.0	3.3	3.1	1.8	5.9	26.4
3. Case Manager	52.0	6.7	9.4	1.8	14.7	56.6
4. Nurse Case Manager	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.6
5. Peer Counselor	15.0	0.0	2.1	1.8	2.0	14.2
6. Nutritionist	6.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	5.7
7. Psychologist	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8
8. Psychiatrist	12.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	2.0	12.3

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 3]

Tree = 1E. Provider Types to Whom Referrals Are Made or Received [Referral Network]

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Counselor Not Peer	13.0	1.1	5.2	0.0	5.9	17.0
10. Private Practice	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2
11. Physician Assistant	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
12. Nurse Practitioner	8.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	8.5
13. Social Worker	14.0	1.1	3.1	3.6	3.9	15.1
14. Dentist	16.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	16.0
15. Outreach Worker	18.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	17.9
16. Pharmacist	6.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	6.6

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 3]

Tree = 1E. Provider Types to Whom Referrals Are Made or Received [Referral Network]

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
17. Pediatrician	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.8	2.0	2.8
18. Research Assistant	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
19. Data Collection Clerk	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
20. Data Entry Clerk	6.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.0	10.4
21. Medical Intern	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.9
22. Medical Resident	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
23. Evaluator	20.0	2.2	8.3	0.0	9.8	23.6
24. Any of the Above	75.0	11.1	17.7	16.1	26.5	76.4



Tree = 2. Outreach

Strategies for outreach used by the grantee to increase the number of individuals, targeted groups, or organizations served

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 2. Outreach

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Street Outreach	34.0	13.3	22.9	5.4	26.5	41.5
2. Agency Outreach	21.0	13.3	12.5	3.6	19.6	30.2
3. Community Outreach	46.0	21.1	28.1	19.6	39.2	62.3
4. Peer Outreach	22.0	8.9	17.7	19.6	19.6	30.2
5. Outreach Not In Care	2.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.8
6. Indigenous Leader	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. HIV Test	70.0	32.2	44.8	42.9	53.9	79.2
8. Outreach Materials	30.0	6.7	8.3	0.0	13.7	37.7

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 2. Outreach

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Any of the Above	84.0	44.4	64.5	51.8	72.5	92.5



Tree = 3. Training on HIV

Methods used by the grantee to train other providers or agencies about HIV/AIDS or HIV/AIDS services

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 3. Training on HIV

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Training Project	85.0	62.2	58.3	26.8	74.5	90.6
2. Training Of Trainer	7.0	4.4	2.1	0.0	5.9	12.3
3. Developed Curriculum	19.0	0.0	7.3	0.0	6.9	21.7
4. Refined Curriculum	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
5. Adult Education	3.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	15.7	16.0
6. Multimedia	4.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	2.0	4.7
7. Clinical Practicum	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7
8. Internship	13.0	1.1	1.0	1.8	2.0	14.2

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 3. Training on HIV

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Trainer	27.0	7.8	5.2	12.5	8.8	31.1
10. Technical Assistance	68.0	16.7	21.9	10.7	28.4	70.8
11. Conduct Training	68.0	33.3	58.3	26.8	65.7	81.1
12. Distance Learning	7.0	1.1	3.1	0.0	2.9	8.5
13. Medical Education	35.0	13.3	33.3	19.6	36.3	51.9
14. Any of the Above	93.0	68.9	75.0	39.3	86.3	97.2



Tree = 3A. Provider Types Trained

Types of providers educated by the
grantee's training efforts

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 3]

Tree = 3A. Provider Types Trained

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Physician	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5
2. Nurse Not Practitioner	39.0	8.9	4.2	3.6	11.8	41.5
3. Case Manager	49.0	14.4	3.1	0.0	13.7	50.9
4. Nurse Case Manager	22.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.8
5. Peer Counselor	12.0	1.1	3.1	0.0	2.9	12.3
6. Nutritionist	11.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	10.4
7. Psychologist	4.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.8
8. Psychiatrist	13.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	14.2

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 3]

Tree = 3A. Provider Types Trained

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Counselor Not Peer	18.0	0.0	2.2	4.2	5.9	19.8
10. Private Practice	23.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7
11. Physician Assistant	8.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	7.5
12. Nurse Practitioner	9.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	8.5
13. Social Worker	20.0	3.3	4.2	3.6	5.9	23.6
14. Dentist	19.0	1.1	4.2	0.0	4.9	22.6
15. Outreach Worker	19.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	19.8
16. Pharmacist	8.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	8.5

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 3]

Tree = 3A. Provider Types Trained

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
17. Pediatrician	1.0	0.0	7.3	1.8	6.9	8.5
18. Research Assistant	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
19. Data Collection Clerk	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
20. Data Entry Clerk	12.0	2.2	0.0	8.9	2.0	17.9
21. Medical Intern	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.8	1.0	1.9
22. Medical Resident	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8
23. Evaluator	29.0	7.8	8.3	0.0	14.7	34.9
24. Any of the Above	84.0	30.0	26.0	12.5	46.1	85.8



Tree = 4. Infrastructure Development

Activities to build the grantee organization
to enhance its ability to provide services
or meet project goals

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 4. Infrastructure Development

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Community Advisory Board	24.0	4.4	3.1	0.0	6.9	26.4
2. Fund Raising	11.0	1.1	1.0	0.0	2.0	11.3
3. Facility Improvement	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
4. Capacity Expansion	6.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	7.5
5. Coalition Formation	10.0	4.4	3.1	0.0	6.9	14.2
6. Information Technology	10.0	2.2	1.0	0.0	2.9	11.3
7. Leadership Development	14.0	7.8	39.6	0.0	42.7	46.2

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 4. Infrastructure Development

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
8. Board Development	11.0	6.7	7.3	0.0	11.8	19.8
9. Systems Change	44.0	14.4	15.6	41.1	23.5	57.5
10. Any of the Above	62.0	31.1	46.9	41.1	54.9	81.1



Tree = 5. Professional Development

Activities to develop the professional skills
of the grantee's staff

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 5. Professional Development

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Staff Training	61.0	1.1	16.7	0.0	16.7	62.3
2. Received TA	12.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	11.3
3. Attended Convention	37.0	2.2	8.3	0.0	9.8	39.6
4. Organized Meetings	9.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	9.4
4. Any of the Above	73.0	4.4	18.9	1.8	21.8	72.6



Tree = 6. Research OR Evaluation Capacity

Evidence and outcomes of the grantee's
ability to conduct research or evaluation

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 6. Research OR Evaluation Capacity

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Published Paper	39.0	8.9	4.2	0.0	11.8	43.4
2. Made Presentation	75.0	11.1	24.0	28.6	29.4	75.5
3. Designed Instrument	43.0	0.0	6.3	5.4	5.9	44.3
4. Conducted Needs Assessment	52.0	8.9	12.5	1.8	17.6	55.7
5. Conducted Statistical Analysis	70.0	3.3	12.5	19.6	11.8	72.6
6. Attended Seminars <u>OR</u> Workshops	22.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	2.0	21.7

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 6. Research OR Evaluation Capacity

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
7. Wrote Report	44.0	10.0	6.3	0.0	13.7	50.9
8. Presented Outcomes	21.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	20.8
9. Any of the Above	91.0	38.9	46.9	33.9	57.8	92.5



Tree = 7. Develop Enhanced Models

Components of enhanced models of care
used or provided by the grantee

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 7. Develop Enhanced Models

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Innovative Care	30.0	1.1	8.3	46.4	8.8	43.4
2. Capacity Expansion	4.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	5.7
3. Integrated Care	42.0	15.6	11.5	16.1	22.5	44.3
4. Comprehensive Care	61.0	6.7	40.6	48.2	41.2	67.9
5. Continuum of Care	42.0	4.4	20.8	16.1	21.6	47.2
6. Continuity of Care	37.0	0.0	5.2	3.6	4.9	36.8
7. Any of the Above	81.0	25.8	53.1	73.2	55.9	84.0



Tree = 8. Policy for HIV

Activities to develop, inform, or affect
policy for HIV/AIDS services

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 8. Policy for HIV

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Policy Development	26.0	1.1	10.4	1.8	10.8	33.0
2. Developed Priorities	21.0	1.1	5.2	5.4	5.9	26.4
3. Lobbying	1.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.8
4. Set Standards of Care	28.0	8.9	10.4	1.8	15.7	32.1
5. Wrote Policy Brief	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
6. Any of the Above	55.0	13.3	22.9	8.9	31.4	67.0



Tree = 9. Population Targeted Services

Activities targeted to a specific population

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 9. Population Targeted Services

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Population Targeted	71.0	13.3	20.8	42.9	28.4	79.2



Tree = 10. Deal with Diversity

Strategies used to deal with diversity
among the client population

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 10. Deal with Diversity

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Diversity	21.0	4.4	8.3	5.4	11.8	27.4
2. Cultural Sensitivity	27.0	1.1	6.3	5.4	6.9	31.1
3. Cultural Appropriateness	24.0	2.2	4.2	7.1	4.9	26.4
4. Cultural Competence	27.0	7.8	3.1	1.8	9.8	27.4
5. Eliminate Barriers	5.0	2.2	3.1	0.0	4.9	9.4
6. Deal With Disparity	8.0	1.1	1.0	0.0	2.0	9.4
7. General Culture	73.0	28.9	37.5	51.8	48.0	83.0

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 10. Deal with Diversity

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
8. Cultural Sensitivity II	31.0	1.1	11.5	5.4	11.8	36.8
9. Cultural Appropriateness II	31.0	2.2	5.2	7.1	5.9	34.0
10. Cultural Competence II	30.0	13.3	4.2	3.6	15.7	34.0
11. Eliminate Barriers II	11.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	2.9	12.3
12. Remove Barriers II	13.0	2.2	3.1	0.0	4.9	16.0
13. Any of the Above	78.0	35.6	45.8	51.8	56.9	86.8



Tree = 11. Specific Accomplishments

Specific professional outcomes of the SPNS project such as formal publications and presentations

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 11. Specific Accomplishments

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Publication	77.0	31.1	18.8	14.3	43.1	79.2
2. Peer Reviewed Journal	33.0	1.1	3.1	0.0	3.9	33.0
3. Book <u>OR</u> Chapter	46.0	11.1	13.5	0.0	21.6	52.8
4. Poster	50.0	2.2	1.0	0.0	2.9	47.2
5. Roundtable	16.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	16.0
6. American Public Health Association	38.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	5.9	36.8
7. National AIDS Update Conference	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.3

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 11. Specific Accomplishments

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
8. U.S. Conference on AIDS	15.0	0.0	5.2	0.0	4.9	17.9
9. International AIDS Conference	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3
10. Society of Adolescent Medicine	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
11. Journal of Adolescent Health	12.0	2.2	1.0	28.6	2.0	17.9
12. Any of the Above	83.0	35.6	30.2	37.5	49.0	84.0



Tree = 12. Other Dissemination

Informal dissemination products produced
by the grantee

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 12. Other Dissemination

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Internet	42.0	7.8	21.9	1.8	27.5	50.9
2. Presentation	76.0	10.0	28.1	1.8	34.3	78.3
3. Newsletter	48.0	3.3	6.3	1.8	8.8	48.1
4. Directory	16.0	1.1	4.2	0.0	4.9	18.9
5. Article	72.0	20.0	10.4	1.8	25.5	73.6
6. Video	30.0	7.8	5.2	1.8	10.8	36.8
7. Audiotape	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5
8. CD	5.0	1.1	1.0	0.0	2.0	6.6
9. Any of the Above	88.0	38.9	39.6	8.9	58.8	89.6



Tree = 13. Staff and Students Migrate

Patterns of grantee Staff and Students' movement within the organization and to other organizations

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 13. Staff and Students Migrate

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Staff Move	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6
2. Student Intern	36.0	3.3	21.9	0.0	22.5	46.2
3. Staff Move II	6.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	5.9	11.3
4. Staff Retention	5.0	2.2	11.5	0.0	12.7	15.1
5. Staff Retention II	8.0	2.2	4.2	0.0	5.9	13.2
6. Any of the Above	49.0	13.3	28.1	0.0	33.3	60.4



Tree = 14. Awards and Recognitions

Awards or recognition received by the grantee organization or staff as a result of SPNS funded work

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 14. Awards and Recognitions

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Award	42.0	15.6	28.1	0.0	35.3	59.4
2. Recognition	17.0	2.2	22.9	1.8	22.5	34.0
3. Excellence	6.0	1.1	6.3	0.0	6.9	11.3
4. Any of the Above	53.0	18.9	43.8	1.8	49.0	72.6



Tree = 15. Formal or Informal Linkages

Formal or informal linkages made with
other associations to enhance HIV/AIDS
services

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 15. Formal or Informal Linkages

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Linkage	97.0	58.9	63.5	44.6	79.4	98.1
2. Referral Sources	54.0	11.1	22.9	1.8	29.4	62.3
3. Partnership	49.0	14.4	25.0	5.4	32.4	59.4
4. Referral Placements	88.0	14.4	24.0	33.9	33.3	90.6
5. AIDS Service Organization	33.0	6.7	24.0	3.6	27.5	44.3
6. Any of the Above	99.0	70.0	84.4	53.6	92.2	99.1



Tree = 16. Leveraging of SPNS Designation

Activities to use the SPNS designation to received additional resources to support or expand HIV/AIDS services

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 3]

Tree = 16. Leveraging of SPNS Designation

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Grant Received	73.0	66.7	27.1	1.8	65.7	83.0
2. Grant Application	39.0	28.9	4.2	0.0	27.5	52.8
3. HRSA NOT SPNS	7.0	15.6	18.8	0.0	28.4	32.1
4. SPNS	56.0	85.6	14.6	1.8	75.5	82.1
5. CDC	16.0	28.9	10.4	0.0	31.4	36.8
6. CSAT	4.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	11.8	14.2
7. CSAP	1.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.7
8. CMHS	3.0	3.3	1.0	0.0	2.9	5.7

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 3]

Tree = 16. Leveraging of SPNS Designation

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. SAMHSA NOT CSAT NOT CSAP NOT CMHS	8.0	14.4	2.1	0.0	13.7	17.9
10. NIH	2.0	6.7	4.2	0.0	8.8	8.5
11. Local	16.0	4.4	9.4	0.0	12.7	24.5
12. Title I	30.0	37.8	4.2	0.0	35.3	47.2
13. Title II	28.0	25.6	6.3	0.0	24.5	38.7
14. Title III	14.0	21.1	3.1	0.0	18.6	22.6
15. Title IV	16.0	25.6	2.1	0.0	24.5	26.4
16. AETC	3.0	12.2	6.3	0.0	11.8	12.3

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 3]

Tree = 16. Leveraging of SPNS Designation

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
17. DRP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18. Any of the Above	95.0	96.7	54.2	1.8	93.1	95.3



Tree = 17. Collaborations with RWCA Titles

Involvement with other Ryan White Titles
or Ryan White funded projects

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 17. Collaborations with RWCA Titles

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Title I	29.0	60.0	3.1	0.0	53.9	57.5
2. Title II	27.0	28.9	6.3	0.0	27.5	37.7
3. Title III	18.0	23.3	3.1	0.0	20.6	28.3
4. Title IV	20.0	25.6	2.1	0.0	24.5	28.3
5. AETC	18.0	13.3	8.3	0.0	13.7	22.6
6. DRP	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
7. WIN Initiative	5.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	6.6
8. Any of the Above	61.0	71.1	14.6	0.0	65.7	76.4



Tree = 18. Other Changes

Changes in recruiting staff or clients

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 18. Other Changes

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Easier To Recruit Staff	28.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	26.4
2. Easier To Recruit Clients	44.0	4.4	2.1	12.5	5.9	46.2
3. Any of the Above	57.0	4.4	2.1	12.5	5.9	57.5



Tree = 19. Underserved Groups

Underserved groups affected by the
grantee

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 4]

Tree = 19. Underserved Groups

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. African American	76.0	25.6	18.8	8.9	35.3	79.2
2. Hispanic	69.0	21.1	14.6	5.4	30.4	68.9
3. Caribbean	6.0	1.1	2.1	0.0	2.0	7.5
4. Haitian	7.0	3.3	1.0	1.8	2.9	6.6
5. Asian <u>OR</u> Pacific Islander	39.0	3.3	8.3	5.4	9.8	40.6
6. African	9.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	9.4
7. Gay Lesbian Bisexual	63.0	22.2	24	19.6	32.4	68.9
8. Transgender	21.0	6.7	10.4	10.7	13.7	27.4

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 4]

Tree = 19. Underserved Groups

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Drug Abuser	90.0	43.3	52.1	41.1	67.6	91.5
10. Alcoholic	30.0	16.7	18.8	10.7	6.9	37.7
11. Mentally Ill	59.0	16.7	18.8	5.4	29.4	60.4
12. Homeless	71.0	13.3	37.5	42.9	38.2	75.5
13. Pregnant <u>OR</u> Post-Partum	36.0	6.7	12.5	14.3	14.7	39.6
14. Parenting	27.0	2.2	10.4	0.0	9.8	28.3
15. Sexual Victims	30.0	8.9	7.3	26.8	14.7	39.6
16. Trauma	30.0	5.6	5.2	8.9	9.8	34.0
17. Incarcerated	38.0	8.9	13.5	14.3	16.7.	44.3

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 4]

Tree = 19. Underserved Groups

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
18. Poor	13.0	2.2	5.2	0.0	6.9	17.0
19. Low SES	35.0	2.2	13.5	12.5	13.7	41.5
20. Disenfranchised	20.0	0.0	2.1	1.8	2.0	19.8
21. Children <u>OR</u> Infants	64.0	14.4	37.5	7.1	43.1	75.5
22. Dual Diagnosis	22.0	11.1	14.6	0.0	28.6	31.1
23. Triple Diagnosis	13.0	6.7	3.1	0.0	7.8	17.0
24. Immigrant	17.0	2.2	8.3	3.6	8.8	18.9
25. Border	3.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.8
26. Adolescent	63.0	28.9	43.8	33.9	50.0	72.6

Percent Projects Coded [4 of 4]

Tree = 19. Underserved Groups

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
27. Multiple Diagnosis	39.0	4.4	12.5	3.6	13.7	37.7
28. American Indian	9.0	3.1	6.3	3.6	5.9	11.3
29. Any of the Above	100.0	91.1	82.3	69.6	95.1	100.0



**Tree = 19A.
Underserved Groups BY Any
Barrier Mentioned**

Barriers to care reported or addressed by
the project as it relates to specific
underserved groups

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 4]

Tree = 19A. Underserved Groups BY Any Barrier Mentioned

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. African American	9.0	1.1	1.0	14.3	2.0	8.5
2. Hispanic	16.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	15.1
3. Caribbean	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3
4. Haitian	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Asian <u>OR</u> Pacific Islander	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.9
6. African	3.0	0.0	1.0	1.8	1.0	2.8
7. Gay Lesbian Bisexual	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8. Transgender	10.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	9.4

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 4]

Tree = 19A. Underserved Groups BY Any Barrier Mentioned

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Drug Abuser	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8
10. Alcoholic	24.0	1.1	8.3	19.6	8.8	31.1
11. Mentally Ill	11.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.0	15.1
12. Homeless	18.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	17.0
13. Pregnant <u>OR</u> Post-Partum	12.0	0.0	4.2	5.4	3.9	16.0
14. Parenting	6.0	1.1	0.0	5.4	1.0	6.6
15. Sexual Victims	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7
16. Trauma	5.0	1.1	3.1	8.9	3.9	11.3
17. Incarcerated	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 4]

Tree = 19A. Underserved Groups BY Any Barrier Mentioned

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
18. Poor	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.5
19. Low SES	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8
20. Disenfranchised	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7
21. Children <u>OR</u> Infants	12.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	11.3
22. Dual Diagnosis	18.0	1.1	2.1	1.8	2.9	17.9
23. Triple Diagnosis	1.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
24. Immigrant	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8
25. Border	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8
26. Adolescent	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	2.8

Percent Projects Coded [4 of 4]

Tree = 19A. Underserved Groups BY Any Barrier Mentioned

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
27. Multiple Diagnosis	39.0	0.0	1.0	14.3	1.0	39.6
28. American Indian	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4
29. Any of the Above	80.0	4.4	15.6	35.7	17.6	77.4



Tree = 20. Empowerment

Activities related to empowering clients

Percent Projects Coded Tree = 20. Empowerment

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Empowerment	36.0	10.0	17.7	7.1	21.6	43.4



Tree = 21. Connections with Staff and Agency

Connections made between clients and staff or client and grantee agency

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 21. Connections with Staff and Agency

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Bonding	10.0	2.2	2.1	1.8	3.9	13.2



Tree = 22. Types of Models

Type of model of HIV/AIDS care used or developed by the grantee

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 22. Types of Models

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Treatment Model	62.0	15.6	19.8	41.1	24.5	67.0
2. Prevention Model	43.0	10.0	11.5	12.5	16.7	48.1
3. Integrated Model	44.0	10.0	7.3	16.1	14.7	43.4
4. Outreach Model	46.0	14.4	8.3	37.5	15.7	50.0
5. Medical Model	72.0	21.1	14.6	53.6	28.4	74.5
6. CBO Model	11.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	2.9	12.3
7. Psychosocial Model	33.0	4.4	2.1	37.5	5.9	39.6
8. Comprehensive Model	51.0	5.6	9.4	37.5	12.7	53.8

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 22. Types of Models

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Any of the Above	88.0	48.9	33.3	62.5	53.9	86.8



Tree = 23. Evaluation Methods

Methods used by the grantee to evaluate the projects services, progress, or outcomes

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 3]

Tree = 23. Evaluation Methods

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Focus Group	56.0	4.4	1.0	12.5	4.9	55.7
2. Interview	89.0	14.4	9.4	48.2	18.6	85.8
3. Questionnaire	61.0	3.3	2.1	16.1	4.9	62.3
4. Survey	89.0	12.2	9.4	26.8	16.7	86.8
5. Needs Assessment	51.0	8.9	12.5	1.8	17.6	55.7
6. Statistical	67.0	5.6	12.5	19.6	13.7	72.6
7. Database	67.0	11.1	7.3	8.9	15.7	65.1
8. Evaluation Design	74.0	4.4	5.2	14.3	8.8	70.8

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 3]

Tree = 23. Evaluation Methods

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Evaluation Report	75.0	11.1	5.2	1.8	12.7	72.6
10. Evaluation Method	73.0	0.0	2.1	42.9	2.0	70.8
11. Process Data	71.0	10.0	2.1	16.1	10.8	69.8
12. Process Evaluation	60.0	5.6	3.1	12.5	6.9	59.4
13. Outcome Evaluation	76.0	5.6	3.1	17.9	7.8	75.5
14. Formative Evaluation	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2
15. Summative Evaluation	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 3]

Tree = 23. Evaluation Methods

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
16. Cross-Cutting Evaluation	23.0	0.0	1.0	28.6	1.0	27.4
17. Multisite Evaluation	31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2
18. National Evaluation	55.0	8.9	7.3	21.4	12.7	56.6
19. Chart Review	22.0	1.1	2.1	8.9	2.9	23.6
20. The Measurement Group	33.0	14.4	2.1	0.0	12.7	35.8
21. Any of the Above	99.0	57.8	39.6	83.9	64.7	95.3



Tree = 24. Lessons Learned

Lessons learned noted by the grantee

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 24. Lessons Learned

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Lessons Learned	77.0	27.8	3.1	26.8	26.5	78.3
2. Recommendations	85.0	6.7	9.4	16.1	13.7	82.1
3. Suggestions	42.0	0.0	2.1	8.9	2.0	42.5
4. Lesson #	28.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.4
5. Any of the Above	95.0	32.2	12.5	39.3	53.3	90.6



Tree = 25. Outcome

Major outcomes reported by the project

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 25. Outcome

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Project Outcome	63.0	13.3	5.2	21.4	15.7	67.0
2. Clinical Outcome	20.0	5.6	2.1	8.9	6.9	23.6
3. Training Outcome	30.0	3.3	0.0	3.6	2.9	30.2
4. Grant Outcome	14.0	8.9	0.0	0.0	7.8	18.9
5. TA Outcome	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7
6. Infrastructure Development Outcome	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5
7. Outcome	94.0	38.9	12.5	35.7	43.1	89.6

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 25. Outcome

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
8. Medical Outcome	28.0	6.7	3.1	16.1	8.8	35.8
9. Psychosocial Outcome	12.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	12.3
10. Health Outcome	42.0	15.6	10.4	33.9	20.6	51.9
11. Any of the Above	94.0	38.9	12.5	35.7	43.1	89.6



Tree = 26. Achievement

Major achievements accomplished by the grantee, SPNS project, or staff

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 26. Achievement

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Project Achievement	21.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	19.8
2. Agency Achievement	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
3. Grant Achievement	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
4. Staff Achievement	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8
5. Accomplishment	73.0	1.1	2.1	0.0	2.9	29.8
6. Any of the Above	77.0	2.2	3.1	0.0	4.9	74.5



Tree = 27. Adherence

Activities related to affecting client
adherence to care or treatment

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 27. Adherence

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Adherence	50.0	17.8	15.6	16.1	26.5	54.7
2. Treatment Adherence	51.0	13.3	12.5	14.3	20.6	56.6
3. Any of the Above	63.0	17.8	18.8	16.1	28.4	66.0



Tree = 28. Managed Care

Activities related to developing or working
with a managed care model of care

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 28. Managed Care

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Managed Care	33.0	10.0	15.6	8.9	19.6	37.7
2. Medicaid Issues	25.0	4.4	9.4	1.8	10.8	27.4
3. Risk Adjustment	6.0	1.1	2.1	1.8	2.0	5.7
4. Capitation Rates	7.0	2.2	1.0	8.9	2.9	6.6
5. HMO	7.0	2.2	3.1	0.0	3.9	9.4
6. Costs	52.0	11.1	17.7	10.7	26.5	58.5
7. Billing Database	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8
8. Medicaid Managed Care	18.0	5.6	7.3	7.1	9.8	21.7

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 28. Managed Care

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Any of the Above	68.0	20.0	35.4	10.7	44.1	75.5



Tree = 29. Legal Advocacy

Activities related to the provision of legal
advocacy services

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 29. Legal Advocacy

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Discrimination	32.0	5.6	7.3	3.6	9.8	34.0
2. Legal Advocacy	16.0	4.4	8.3	0.0	8.8	17.9
3. Lawsuit	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
4. Any of the Above	35.0	6.7	10.4	3.6	12.7	37.7



Tree = 30. End of Life

Activities related to the provision of end of
life care

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 30. End of Life

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Hospice Care	18.0	2.2	9.4	10.7	9.8	23.6
2. Palliative Care	8.0	1.1	2.1	1.8	2.9	9.4
3. Curative Care	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.9
4. End Of Life Care	5.0	0.0	3.1	1.8	2.9	5.7
5. Any of the Above	23.0	2.2	13.5	10.7	13.7	30.2



Tree = 31. Full Continuum of Care

Activities related to developing or providing a full continuum of care

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 31. Continuum of Care

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Continuum of Care	44.0	4.4	20.8	16.1	21.6	48.1



Tree = 32. Cost of Care

Costs related to the provision of care for
clients

Percent Projects Coded

Tree = 32. Cost of Care

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Cost	62.0	26.7	28.1	16.1	41.2	69.8



Tree = 33. Problem/Barrier

Problems or barrier reported or addressed
by the grantee or client

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 4]

Tree = 33. Problem/Barrier

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Transportation	26.0	1.1	3.1	12.5	3.9	28.3
2. Staff Retention	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3. Staffing Levels	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7
4. Appropriate Materials	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7
5. Lack of Awareness [About Services]	12.0	0.0	2.1	1.8	2.0	12.3
6. Lack of Related Services	4.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	4.7
7. Service Capacity	10.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	10.4

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 4]

Tree = 33. Problem/Barrier

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
8. Stigma	19.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	17.9
9. Access to Care	44.0	3.3	10.4	26.8	11.8	46.2
10. Retention in Care	6.0	0.0	1.0	8.9	1.0	9.4
11. Licenses	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8
12. Mention of Barrier	91.0	14.4	24.0	44.6	32.4	89.6
13. Language	14.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	2.0	14.2
14. Discrimination	10.0	1.1	0.0	1.8	1.0	10.4
15. Gender	11.0	1.1	1.0	0.0	2.0	12.3

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 4]

Tree = 33. Problem/Barrier

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
16. Cultural	20.0	4.4	3.1	5.4	6.9	21.7
17. Immigration	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8
18. Service Gaps	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19. Evaluation	43.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	40.6
20. Childcare	15.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	14.2
21. Age	11.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	11.3
22. Fear of Disclosure	11.0	1.1	1.0	0.0	2.0	12.3
23. Linguistic	13.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	12.3
24. Organizational	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.3

Percent Projects Coded [4 of 4]

Tree = 33. Problem/Barrier

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
25. Homophobia	4.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	3.8
26. Housing	41.0	1.1	12.5	1.8	12.7	46.2
27. Any of the Above	92.0	14.4	24.0	44.6	32.4	90.6



Tree = 34. Miscellaneous

Additional areas of interest

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 2]

Tree = 34. Miscellaneous

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Hepatitis C	8.0	5.6	6.3	3.6	10.8	17.0
2. Linkage to ACTG	3.0	1.1	0.0	1.8	1.0	3.8
3. TB	29.0	1.1	21.9	0.0	28.6	40.6
4. Referral	97.0	30	36.5	42.9	53.9	95.3
5. Trained	94.0	62.2	58.3	37.5	74.5	93.4
6. Hepatitis All	19.0	8.9	13.5	3.6	17.6	30.2
7. Adolescent Trial Network	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
8. HOPWA	39.0	18.9	11.5	0.0	20.6	41.5

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 2]

Tree = 34. Miscellaneous

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Client Patient	100.0	78.9	74.0	83.9	84.3	100.0
10. Transition Plan	85.0	36.7	30.2	14.3	49.0	89.6
11. Provider	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
12. Any of the Above	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0



Tree = 35. Generic Provider Types

Any providers mentioned by the grantee—this may include providers on staff, providers to whom referrals were made, or providers who were trained

Percent Projects Coded [1 of 3]

Tree = 35. Generic Provider Types Used for Node Searches [may be on staff, referrals, trained]: Any mentions from automated coding

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
1. Physician	80.0	34.4	39.6	7.1	52.0	83.0
2. Nurse Not Practitioner	64.0	22.2	17.7	7.1	31.4	68.9
3. Case Manager	87.0	36.7	17.7	12.5	41.2	84.9
4. Nurse Case Manager	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4
5. Peer Counselor	36.0	5.6	10.4	3.6	13.7	36.8
6. Nutritionist	10.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	2.9	10.4
7. Psychologist	31.0	13.3	1.0	0.0	12.7	33.0
8. Psychiatrist	26.0	12.2	3.1	0.0	13.7	29.2

Percent Projects Coded [2 of 3]

Tree = 35. Generic Provider Types Used for Node Searches [may be on staff, referrals, trained]: Any mentions from automated coding

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
9. Counselor Not Peer	63.0	12.2	22.9	0.0	28.4	68.9
10. Private Practice	24.0	5.6	1.0	0.0	5.9	24.5
11. Physician Assistant	16.0	2.2	3.1	0.0	3.9	17.0
12. Nurse Practitioner	29.0	14.4	0.0	1.8	12.7	32.1
13. Social Worker	69.0	18.9	20.8	8.9	31.4	73.6
14. Dentist	29.0	7.8	21.8	0.0	21.6	40.6
15. Outreach Worker	42.0	12.2	12.5	1.8	18.6	46.2
16. Pharmacist	11.0	1.1	3.1	0.0	3.9	13.2

Percent Projects Coded [3 of 3]

Tree = 35. Generic Provider Types Used for Node Searches [may be on staff, referrals, trained]: Any mentions from automated coding

Node in Tree	Final Report/ Proxy [n=100]	Interview [n=90]	Web Site [n=96]	Publication [n=56]	Web or Interview [n=102]	Any Source [n=106]
17. Pediatrician	5.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	5.7
18. Research Assistant	22.0	2.2	1.0	0.0	2.9	22.3
19. Data Collection Clerk	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20. Data Entry Clerk	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
21. Medical Intern	1.0	2.2	5.2	1.8	6.9	8.5
22. Medical Resident	2.0	2.2	19.8	1.8	18.6	19.8
23. Evaluator	70.0	25.6	4.2	8.9	24.5	70.8
24. Any of the Above	100.0	81.1	67.7	30.4	84.3	99.1



Full Final Report

Available at www.SPNSoutcomes.info