

**Evaluation of Annual Leadership Conference
A John A. Hartford Foundation Funded Project
for the Building Academic Geriatric Nursing Capacity Initiative**

**Appendix I:
Evaluation Form**

Appendix I: Evaluation Form

- b. How relevant was the session for your work?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Relevant 4 Very Relevant 3 Moderately Relevant 2 Slightly Relevant 1 Not at All Relevant
- c. How would you rate the session quality?** (choose one)
5 Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Good 2 Fair 1 Poor
- d. Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?** (choose one)
5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not
- e. How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your JAHF* grant?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Valuable 4 Very Valuable 3 Moderately Valuable 2 Slightly Valuable 1 Not at All Valuable
- f. How useful was the session content for helping you increase your leadership skills within the field of gerontological/geriatric nursing?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Useful 4 Very Useful 3 Moderately Useful 2 Slightly Useful 1 Not at All Useful
- g. Should this type of session be repeated for you in future years?** (choose one)
5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not

Comments about this session: _____

3. Networking Lunch (Hosted by CGNE Directors)

- a. I attended this session** (choose one)
1 Yes 0 No → *If no, skip to Question 4.*
- b. How relevant was the session for your work?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Relevant 4 Very Relevant 3 Moderately Relevant 2 Slightly Relevant 1 Not at All Relevant
- c. How would you rate the session quality?** (choose one)
5 Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Good 2 Fair 1 Poor
- d. Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?** (choose one)
5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not
- e. How valuable was this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your JAHF* grant?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Valuable 4 Very Valuable 3 Moderately Valuable 2 Slightly Valuable 1 Not at All Valuable
- f. How useful was this session for helping you increase your leadership skills within the field of gerontological/geriatric nursing?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Useful 4 Very Useful 3 Moderately Useful 2 Slightly Useful 1 Not at All Useful
- g. Should this type of session be repeated for you in future years?** (choose one)
5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not

Comments about this session: _____

4. Orchestrating a Research Career (McBride, Buckwalter)

- a. **I attended this session** (choose one)
 Yes No → *If no, skip to Question 5.*
- b. **How relevant was the session for your work?** (choose one)
 Extremely Relevant Very Relevant Moderately Relevant Slightly Relevant Not at All Relevant
- c. **How would you rate the session quality?** (choose one)
 Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor
- d. **Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?** (choose one)
 Definitely Probably Not Sure Probably Not Definitely Not
- e. **How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your JAHF* grant?** (choose one)
 Extremely Valuable Very Valuable Moderately Valuable Slightly Valuable Not at All Valuable
- f. **How useful was the session content for helping you increase your leadership skills within the field of gerontological/geriatric nursing?** (choose one)
 Extremely Useful Very Useful Moderately Useful Slightly Useful Not at All Useful
- g. **Should this type of session be repeated for you in future years?** (choose one)
 Definitely Probably Not Sure Probably Not Definitely Not

Comments about this session: _____

Thursday, November 15, 2001

5. Strategic Communications: Theory and Practice (Beilenson)

- a. **I attended this session** (choose one)
 Yes No → *If no, skip to Question 6.*
- b. **How relevant was the session for your work?** (choose one)
 Extremely Relevant Very Relevant Moderately Relevant Slightly Relevant Not at All Relevant
- c. **How would you rate the session quality?** (choose one)
 Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor
- d. **Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?** (choose one)
 Definitely Probably Not Sure Probably Not Definitely Not
- e. **How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your JAHF* grant?** (choose one)
 Extremely Valuable Very Valuable Moderately Valuable Slightly Valuable Not at All Valuable
- f. **How useful was the session content for helping you increase your leadership skills within the field of gerontological/geriatric nursing?** (choose one)
 Extremely Useful Very Useful Moderately Useful Slightly Useful Not at All Useful
- g. **Should this type of session be repeated for you in future years?** (choose one)
 Definitely Probably Not Sure Probably Not Definitely Not

Comments about this session: _____

6. Networking Lunch (Advisory Board Members host tables)

- a. **I attended this session** (choose one)
1 Yes 0 No → *If no, skip to Question 7.*
- b. **How relevant was the session for your work?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Relevant 4 Very Relevant 3 Moderately Relevant 2 Slightly Relevant 1 Not at All Relevant
- c. **How would you rate the session quality?** (choose one)
5 Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Good 2 Fair 1 Poor
- d. **Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?** (choose one)
5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not
- e. **How valuable was this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your JAHF* grant?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Valuable 4 Very Valuable 3 Moderately Valuable 2 Slightly Valuable 1 Not at All Valuable
- f. **How useful was this session for helping you increase your leadership skills within the field of gerontological/geriatric nursing?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Useful 4 Very Useful 3 Moderately Useful 2 Slightly Useful 1 Not at All Useful
- g. **Should this type of session be repeated for you in future years?** (choose one)
5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not

Comments about this session: _____

7. Linking Research Programs to National Strategic Planning (Grady, Hinshaw)

- a. **I attended this session** (choose one)
1 Yes 0 No → *If no, skip to Question 8.*
- b. **How relevant was the session for your work?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Relevant 4 Very Relevant 3 Moderately Relevant 2 Slightly Relevant 1 Not at All Relevant
- c. **How would you rate the session quality?** (choose one)
5 Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Good 2 Fair 1 Poor
- d. **Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?** (choose one)
5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not
- e. **How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your JAHF* grant?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Valuable 4 Very Valuable 3 Moderately Valuable 2 Slightly Valuable 1 Not at All Valuable

* JAHF = The John A. Hartford Foundation

f. **How useful was the session content for helping you increase your leadership skills within the field of gerontological/geriatric nursing?** (choose one)

5 Extremely Useful 4 Very Useful 3 Moderately Useful 2 Slightly Useful 1 Not at All Useful

g. **Should this type of session be repeated for you in future years?** (choose one)

5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not

Comments about this session: _____

8. Leadership Required: Linking Research to Practice and Policy Changes (Chater)

a. **I attended this session** (choose one)

1 Yes 0 No → *If no, skip to Question 9.*

b. **How relevant was the session for your work?** (choose one)

5 Extremely Relevant 4 Very Relevant 3 Moderately Relevant 2 Slightly Relevant 1 Not at All Relevant

c. **How would you rate the session quality?** (choose one)

5 Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Good 2 Fair 1 Poor

d. **Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?** (choose one)

5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not

e. **How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your JAHF* grant?** (choose one)

5 Extremely Valuable 4 Very Valuable 3 Moderately Valuable 2 Slightly Valuable 1 Not at All Valuable

f. **How useful was the session content for helping you increase your leadership skills within the field of gerontological/geriatric nursing?** (choose one)

5 Extremely Useful 4 Very Useful 3 Moderately Useful 2 Slightly Useful 1 Not at All Useful

g. **Should this type of session be repeated for you in future years?** (choose one)

5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not

Comments about this session: _____

9. Endnotes: Charting the Future of Geriatric Nurse Leaders (Mezey)

a. **I attended this session** (choose one)

1 Yes 0 No → *If no, skip to Question 10.*

b. **How relevant was the session for your work?** (choose one)

5 Extremely Relevant 4 Very Relevant 3 Moderately Relevant 2 Slightly Relevant 1 Not at All Relevant

c. **How would you rate the session quality?** (choose one)

5 Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Good 2 Fair 1 Poor

d. **Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?** (choose one)

5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not

Appendix I: Evaluation Form

- e. **How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your JAHF* grant?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Valuable 4 Very Valuable 3 Moderately Valuable 2 Slightly Valuable 1 Not at All Valuable
- f. **How useful was the session content for helping you increase your leadership skills within the field of gerontological/geriatric nursing?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Useful 4 Very Useful 3 Moderately Useful 2 Slightly Useful 1 Not at All Useful
- g. **Should this type of session be repeated for you in future years?** (choose one)
5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not

Comments about this session: _____

B. Please give us feedback about the conference as a whole.

10. **How relevant was this leadership conference for your work?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Relevant 4 Very Relevant 3 Moderately Relevant 2 Slightly Relevant 1 Not at All Relevant
11. **How much did the conference match your expectations?** (choose one)
3 Very Much 2 Somewhat 1 Not At All
12. **Would you recommend a similar conference to a peer?** (choose one)
5 Definitely 4 Probably 3 Not Sure 2 Probably Not 1 Definitely Not
13. **How would you rate the conference quality?** (choose one)
5 Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Good 2 Fair 1 Poor
14. **How likely are you to use the information from the conference?** (choose one)
5 Very Likely 4 Somewhat Likely 3 Not Sure 2 Somewhat Unlikely 1 Very Unlikely
15. **How valuable was the overall conference in helping you meet the goals and objectives of your JAHF* grant?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Valuable 4 Very Valuable 3 Moderately Valuable 2 Slightly Valuable 1 Not at All Valuable
16. **How useful was the overall conference in helping you increase your leadership skills within the field of gerontological/geriatric nursing?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Useful 4 Very Useful 3 Moderately Useful 2 Slightly Useful 1 Not at All Useful
17. **How appropriate was the number of networking opportunities provided at this conference (e.g., networking luncheons, breaks)?** (choose one)
3 Too many 2 Just right 1 Not enough
18. **How conducive was the setting of this conference to networking?** (choose one)
5 Extremely 4 Very 3 Moderately 2 Slightly 1 Not at All
19. **How appropriate was the combination of workshops, panels, and other sessions at this conference?** (choose one)
3 Too Didactic 2 Just Right 1 Too Participatory
20. **How helpful were the pre-conference materials and information packet?** (choose one)
5 Extremely Helpful 4 Very Helpful 3 Moderately Helpful 2 Slightly Helpful 1 Not at All Helpful

C. Now please tell us about yourself.25. Gender 2 Female 1 Male

26. Age _____

27. What is your ethnic/cultural/racial background? (all that apply)

- 1 African American/Black (non-Hispanic)
 1 Native American, Native Alaskan, Aleutian, Eskimo
 1 Asian/Pacific Islander
 1 Hispanic/Latino
 1 White (non-Hispanic, including Middle Eastern, North African)
 1 Other (specify _____)

28. Type of attendee (all that apply)

- 1 Pre-Doctoral Hartford Scholar
 1 Post-Doctoral Hartford Scholar
 1 Mentor of Hartford Scholar
 1 HCGNE Director/Faculty/Staff
 1 Investment Program Director/Faculty/Staff
 1 NYU Institute Director/Faculty/Staff
 1 AACN/AAN Director/Staff
 1 AACN Baccalaureate Curriculum Director/Staff
 1 AACN Advanced Practice Director/Staff
 1 Speaker
 1 Other (specify _____)

29. How would you describe your leadership skills in gerontological/geriatric nursing prior to this conference? (choose one)

- 3 Advanced 2 Intermediate 1 Beginning

30. Do you have an undergraduate (bachelors) degree?

- 1 Yes 0 No → *If no, skip to Question 33.*

Do you have an undergraduate degree in nursing? 1 Yes What specialty? _____ Year _____Do you have an undergraduate degree in another field? 1 Yes What is it? _____ Year _____

31. Do you have a masters degree?

- 1 Yes 0 No → *If no, skip to Question 32.*

Do you have a masters degree in nursing? 1 Yes What specialty? _____ Year _____Do you have a masters degree in another field? 1 Yes What is it? _____ Year _____

32. Do you have a doctoral degree?

- 1 Yes 0 No → *If no, skip to Question 33.*

Do you have a doctoral degree in nursing? 1 Yes What specialty? _____ Year _____Do you have a doctoral degree in another field? 1 Yes What is it? _____ Year _____

33. How many years of experience do you have...

a. in gerontological/geriatric nursing practice? _____ yearsb. in gerontological/geriatric nursing faculty/research? _____ yearsc. in other gerontological/geriatric nursing areas? _____ years

34. Do you consider your primary specialty to be gerontological/geriatric nursing?

- 1 Yes 0 No

35. About how many...

- a. GSA meetings have you attended in the past? _____ meetings
- b. GSA meetings have you presented papers at in the past? _____ meetings
- c. published papers have you authored or co-authored (e.g., articles, books, chapters, substantial technical reports) in gerontological/geriatric nursing? _____ papers
- d. published papers have you authored or co-authored (e.g., articles, books, chapters, substantial technical reports) in total, including other fields? _____ papers

36. Please provide any additional feedback or comments about the leadership conference below.

Thank you very much for your feedback. Please turn in your completed evaluation form to the registration desk or to a representative of The Measurement Group.

Appendix II:

Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results for All Respondents

Welcome - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
	Yes	39	95.1	95.1	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

Welcome - How well did session frame conference purpose?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	9	22.0	24.3	24.3
	Excellent	28	68.3	75.7	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Welcome - How much did purpose match your conference expectations?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Somewhat	3	7.3	7.9	7.9
	Very Much	35	85.4	92.1	100.0
	Total	38	92.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	3	7.3		
Total		41	100.0		

Welcome - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	2	4.9	5.4	5.4
	Very Good	11	26.8	29.7	35.1
	Excellent	24	58.5	64.9	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Description of CGNEs - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
	Yes	39	95.1	95.1	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	3	7.3	7.7	7.7
	Very Relevant	22	53.7	56.4	64.1
	Extremely Relevant	14	34.1	35.9	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Description of CGNEs - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	6	14.6	15.4	15.4
	Very Good	18	43.9	46.2	61.5
	Excellent	15	36.6	38.5	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Description of CGNEs - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	5	12.2	12.8	12.8
	Probably	15	36.6	38.5	51.3
	Definitely	19	46.3	48.7	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Description of CGNEs - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Valuable	2	4.9	5.3	5.3
	Moderately Valuable	12	29.3	31.6	36.8
	Very Valuable	15	36.6	39.5	76.3
	Extremely Valuable	9	22.0	23.7	100.0
	Total	38	92.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	3	7.3		
Total		41	100.0		

CGNE description - How useful was session in increasing leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Useful	5	12.2	12.8	12.8
	Moderately Useful	12	29.3	30.8	43.6
	Very Useful	12	29.3	30.8	74.4
	Extremely Useful	10	24.4	25.6	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Skipped	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Description of CGNEs - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely Not	1	2.4	2.6	2.6
	Probably Not	2	4.9	5.3	7.9
	Not Sure	1	2.4	2.6	10.5
	Probably	18	43.9	47.4	57.9
	Definitely	16	39.0	42.1	100.0
	Total	38	92.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	3	7.3		
Total		41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
	Yes	39	95.1	95.1	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Relevant	1	2.4	2.6	2.6
	Moderately Relevant	7	17.1	18.4	21.1
	Very Relevant	15	36.6	39.5	60.5
	Extremely Relevant	15	36.6	39.5	100.0
	Total	38	92.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	3	7.3		
Total		41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Fair	3	7.3	8.1	8.1
	Good	5	12.2	13.5	21.6
	Very Good	16	39.0	43.2	64.9
	Excellent	13	31.7	35.1	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably Not	3	7.3	8.1	8.1
	Not Sure	5	12.2	13.5	21.6
	Probably	10	24.4	27.0	48.6
	Definitely	19	46.3	51.4	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Valuable	2	4.9	5.4	5.4
	Moderately Valuable	11	26.8	29.7	35.1
	Very Valuable	12	29.3	32.4	67.6
	Extremely Valuable	12	29.3	32.4	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All Useful	4	9.8	10.8	10.8
	Slightly Useful	4	9.8	10.8	21.6
	Moderately Useful	5	12.2	13.5	35.1
	Very Useful	10	24.4	27.0	62.2
	Extremely Useful	14	34.1	37.8	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely Not	1	2.4	2.8	2.8
	Probably Not	2	4.9	5.6	8.3
	Not Sure	1	2.4	2.8	11.1
	Probably	11	26.8	30.6	41.7
	Definitely	21	51.2	58.3	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	4.9		
	Not Completed	3	7.3		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Research Career - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
	Yes	40	97.6	97.6	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Relevant	1	2.4	2.5	2.5
	Moderately Relevant	6	14.6	15.0	17.5
	Very Relevant	8	19.5	20.0	37.5
	Extremely Relevant	25	61.0	62.5	100.0
	Total	40	97.6	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
Total		41	100.0		

Research Career - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	2	4.9	5.0	5.0
	Very Good	6	14.6	15.0	20.0
	Excellent	32	78.0	80.0	100.0
	Total	40	97.6	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
Total		41	100.0		

Research Career - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	2	4.9	5.0	5.0
	Probably	4	9.8	10.0	15.0
	Definitely	34	82.9	85.0	100.0
	Total	40	97.6	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
Total		41	100.0		

Research Career - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Valuable	1	2.4	2.6	2.6
	Moderately Valuable	3	7.3	7.7	10.3
	Very Valuable	9	22.0	23.1	33.3
	Extremely Valuable	26	63.4	66.7	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Research Career - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Useful	1	2.4	2.5	2.5
	Moderately Useful	5	12.2	12.5	15.0
	Very Useful	8	19.5	20.0	35.0
	Extremely Useful	26	63.4	65.0	100.0
	Total	40	97.6	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
Total		41	100.0		

Research Career - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably Not	4	9.8	10.3	10.3
	Not Sure	1	2.4	2.6	12.8
	Probably	1	2.4	2.6	15.4
	Definitely	33	80.5	84.6	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Strategic Communications - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
	Yes	40	97.6	97.6	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Relevant	1	2.4	2.6	2.6
	Moderately Relevant	11	26.8	28.2	30.8
	Very Relevant	15	36.6	38.5	69.2
	Extremely Relevant	12	29.3	30.8	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Strategic Communications - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Fair	5	12.2	13.2	13.2
	Good	8	19.5	21.1	34.2
	Very Good	20	48.8	52.6	86.8
	Excellent	5	12.2	13.2	100.0
	Total	38	92.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	3	7.3		
Total		41	100.0		

Strategic Communications - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably Not	3	7.3	7.7	7.7
	Not Sure	7	17.1	17.9	25.6
	Probably	14	34.1	35.9	61.5
	Definitely	15	36.6	38.5	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Strategic Communications - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Valuable	4	9.8	10.5	10.5
	Moderately Valuable	11	26.8	28.9	39.5
	Very Valuable	14	34.1	36.8	76.3
	Extremely Valuable	9	22.0	23.7	100.0
	Total	38	92.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	3	7.3		
Total		41	100.0		

Strategic Communications - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All Useful	2	4.9	5.1	5.1
	Slightly Useful	2	4.9	5.1	10.3
	Moderately Useful	12	29.3	30.8	41.0
	Very Useful	15	36.6	38.5	79.5
	Extremely Useful	8	19.5	20.5	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Strategic Communications - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely Not	1	2.4	2.6	2.6
	Probably Not	5	12.2	12.8	15.4
	Not Sure	9	22.0	23.1	38.5
	Probably	14	34.1	35.9	74.4
	Definitely	10	24.4	25.6	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	1	2.4		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	41	100.0	100.0	100.0

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How relevant was session for your work?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Not at All Relevant	2	4.9	5.0	5.0
Slightly Relevant	3	7.3	7.5	12.5
Moderately Relevant	6	14.6	15.0	27.5
Very Relevant	10	24.4	25.0	52.5
Extremely Relevant	19	46.3	47.5	100.0
Total	40	97.6	100.0	
Missing Not Completed	1	2.4		
Total	41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Session quality

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Poor	1	2.4	2.5	2.5
Fair	2	4.9	5.0	7.5
Good	7	17.1	17.5	25.0
Very Good	14	34.1	35.0	60.0
Excellent	16	39.0	40.0	100.0
Total	40	97.6	100.0	
Missing Not Completed	1	2.4		
Total	41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Definitely Not	1	2.4	2.5	2.5
Not Sure	2	4.9	5.0	7.5
Probably	11	26.8	27.5	35.0
Definitely	26	63.4	65.0	100.0
Total	40	97.6	100.0	
Missing Not Completed	1	2.4		
Total	41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Valuable	5	12.2	12.8	12.8
	Moderately Valuable	7	17.1	17.9	30.8
	Very Valuable	12	29.3	30.8	61.5
	Extremely Valuable	15	36.6	38.5	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All Useful	1	2.4	2.5	2.5
	Slightly Useful	2	4.9	5.0	7.5
	Moderately Useful	12	29.3	30.0	37.5
	Very Useful	10	24.4	25.0	62.5
	Extremely Useful	15	36.6	37.5	100.0
	Total	40	97.6	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	2.4		
Total		41	100.0		

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	1	2.4	2.9	2.9
	Probably	9	22.0	25.7	28.6
	Definitely	25	61.0	71.4	100.0
	Total	35	85.4	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	6	14.6		
Total		41	100.0		

Linking Research - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	4	9.8	10.0	10.0
	Yes	36	87.8	90.0	100.0
	Total	40	97.6	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	2.4		
Total		41	100.0		

Linking Research - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	5	12.2	13.9	13.9
	Very Relevant	10	24.4	27.8	41.7
	Extremely Relevant	21	51.2	58.3	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Linking Research - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Fair	1	2.4	2.8	2.8
	Good	1	2.4	2.8	5.6
	Very Good	12	29.3	33.3	38.9
	Excellent	22	53.7	61.1	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Linking Research - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably Not	1	2.4	2.8	2.8
	Probably	7	17.1	19.4	22.2
	Definitely	28	68.3	77.8	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Linking Research - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Valuable	1	2.4	2.8	2.8
	Moderately Valuable	6	14.6	16.7	19.4
	Very Valuable	8	19.5	22.2	41.7
	Extremely Valuable	21	51.2	58.3	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Linking Research - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Useful	1	2.4	2.8	2.8
	Moderately Useful	8	19.5	22.2	25.0
	Very Useful	8	19.5	22.2	47.2
	Extremely Useful	19	46.3	52.8	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Linking Research - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	2	4.9	5.6	5.6
	Probably	7	17.1	19.4	25.0
	Definitely	27	65.9	75.0	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Leadership Required - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	4	9.8	10.0	10.0
	Yes	36	87.8	90.0	100.0
	Total	40	97.6	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	2.4		
Total		41	100.0		

Leadership Required - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Relevant	6	14.6	16.7	16.7
	Extremely Relevant	30	73.2	83.3	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Leadership Required - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	1	2.4	2.8	2.8
	Excellent	35	85.4	97.2	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Leadership Required - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	36	87.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Leadership Required - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Valuable	8	19.5	22.2	22.2
	Extremely Valuable	28	68.3	77.8	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Leadership Required - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Useful	6	14.6	17.1	17.1
	Extremely Useful	29	70.7	82.9	100.0
	Total	35	85.4	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	6	14.6		
Total		41	100.0		

Leadership Required - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably Not	1	2.4	2.8	2.8
	Probably	1	2.4	2.8	5.6
	Definitely	34	82.9	94.4	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	4	9.8		
	Not Completed	1	2.4		
	Total	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Endnotes - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	5	12.2	12.8	12.8
	Yes	34	82.9	87.2	100.0
	Total	39	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	4.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Endnotes - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	2	4.9	5.9	5.9
	Very Relevant	5	12.2	14.7	20.6
	Extremely Relevant	27	65.9	79.4	100.0
	Total	34	82.9	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	5	12.2		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	7	17.1		
Total		41	100.0		

Endnotes - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	6	14.6	17.6	17.6
	Excellent	28	68.3	82.4	100.0
	Total	34	82.9	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	5	12.2		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	7	17.1		
Total		41	100.0		

Endnotes - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	3	7.3	8.8	8.8
	Definitely	31	75.6	91.2	100.0
	Total	34	82.9	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	5	12.2		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	7	17.1		
Total		41	100.0		

Endnotes - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	2	4.9	6.1	6.1
	Very Valuable	6	14.6	18.2	24.2
	Extremely Valuable	25	61.0	75.8	100.0
	Total	33	80.5	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	5	12.2		
	Not Completed	3	7.3		
	Total	8	19.5		
Total		41	100.0		

Endnotes - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Useful	1	2.4	2.9	2.9
	Moderately Useful	1	2.4	2.9	5.9
	Very Useful	4	9.8	11.8	17.6
	Extremely Useful	28	68.3	82.4	100.0
	Total	34	82.9	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	5	12.2		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	7	17.1		
Total		41	100.0		

Endnotes - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	2	4.9	5.9	5.9
	Definitely	32	78.0	94.1	100.0
	Total	34	82.9	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	5	12.2		
	Not Completed	2	4.9		
	Total	7	17.1		
Total		41	100.0		

How relevant was conference for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	2	4.9	5.4	5.4
	Very Relevant	12	29.3	32.4	37.8
	Extremely Relevant	23	56.1	62.2	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

How much did conference match your expectations?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All	2	4.9	5.4	5.4
	Somewhat	6	14.6	16.2	21.6
	Very Much	29	70.7	78.4	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Would you recommend a similar conference to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	3	7.3	8.1	8.1
	Probably	2	4.9	5.4	13.5
	Definitely	32	78.0	86.5	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

How would you rate conference quality?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Fair	1	2.4	2.9	2.9
	Very Good	9	22.0	25.7	28.6
	Excellent	25	61.0	71.4	100.0
	Total	35	85.4	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	6	14.6		
Total		41	100.0		

How likely are you to use information from the conference?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	1	2.4	2.8	2.8
	Somewhat Likely	3	7.3	8.3	11.1
	Very Likely	32	78.0	88.9	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

How valuable was conference in helping you meet goals and objectives of your grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	2	4.9	5.6	5.6
	Very Valuable	14	34.1	38.9	44.4
	Extremely Valuable	20	48.8	55.6	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

How useful was conference in increasing your leadership skills in nursing?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Useful	4	9.8	10.8	10.8
	Very Useful	13	31.7	35.1	45.9
	Extremely Useful	20	48.8	54.1	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

How appropriate was number of networking opportunities?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not enough	19	46.3	52.8	52.8
	Just right	17	41.5	47.2	100.0
	Total	36	87.8	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	5	12.2		
Total		41	100.0		

How conducive was setting of conference to networking?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately	6	14.6	17.1	17.1
	Very	22	53.7	62.9	80.0
	Extremely	7	17.1	20.0	100.0
	Total	35	85.4	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	6	14.6		
Total		41	100.0		

How appropriate was combination of workshops, panels, and other sessions?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Just Right	27	65.9	79.4	79.4
	Too Didactic	7	17.1	20.6	100.0
	Total	34	82.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	7	17.1		
Total		41	100.0		

How helpful were pre-conference materials and information packet?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All Helpful	2	4.9	6.1	6.1
	Slightly Helpful	3	7.3	9.1	15.2
	Moderately Helpful	10	24.4	30.3	45.5
	Very Helpful	15	36.6	45.5	90.9
	Extremely Helpful	3	7.3	9.1	100.0
	Total	33	80.5	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	8	19.5		
Total		41	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	1	2.4	2.6	2.6
	Female	37	90.2	97.4	100.0
	Total	38	92.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	3	7.3		
Total		41	100.0		

Appendix II: Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results for All Respondents

Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	29	2	4.9	6.5	6.5
	34	1	2.4	3.2	9.7
	36	1	2.4	3.2	12.9
	40	1	2.4	3.2	16.1
	42	1	2.4	3.2	19.4
	44	1	2.4	3.2	22.6
	45	3	7.3	9.7	32.3
	46	2	4.9	6.5	38.7
	48	1	2.4	3.2	41.9
	49	2	4.9	6.5	48.4
	50	1	2.4	3.2	51.6
	52	3	7.3	9.7	61.3
	53	2	4.9	6.5	67.7
	54	2	4.9	6.5	74.2
	55	1	2.4	3.2	77.4
	56	1	2.4	3.2	80.6
	57	2	4.9	6.5	87.1
	58	2	4.9	6.5	93.5
	60	1	2.4	3.2	96.8
	66	1	2.4	3.2	100.0
	Total	31	75.6	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	10	24.4		
Total		41	100.0		

Ethnicity - African American

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	2.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	40	97.6		
Total		41	100.0		

Ethnicity - Native American

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	41	100.0

Ethnicity - Asian/Pacific Islander

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	2.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	40	97.6		
Total		41	100.0		

Appendix II: Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results for All Respondents

Ethnicity - Hispanic/Latino

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	2.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	40	97.6		
Total		41	100.0		

Ethnicity - White

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	32	78.0	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	9	22.0		
Total		41	100.0		

Ethnicity - Other

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	2.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	40	97.6		
Total		41	100.0		

Attendee Type - Pre-doctoral Hartford scholar

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	22.0	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	32	78.0		
Total		41	100.0		

Attendee Type - Post-doctoral Hartford scholar

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	6	14.6	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	35	85.4		
Total		41	100.0		

Attendee Type - Mentor of Hartford scholar

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	11	26.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	30	73.2		
Total		41	100.0		

Attendee Type - HGCNE director/faculty/staff

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	7	17.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	34	82.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Attendee Type - Investment program director/faculty/staff

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	7	17.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	34	82.9		
Total		41	100.0		

Attendee Type - NYU Institute director/faculty/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	41	100.0

Attendee Type - AACN/AAN director/staff

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	2.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	40	97.6		
Total		41	100.0		

Attendee Type - AACN Baccalaureate director/staff

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2	4.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	39	95.1		
Total		41	100.0		

Attendee Type - AACN advanced practice director/staff

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	2.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	40	97.6		
Total		41	100.0		

Appendix II: Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results for All Respondents

Attendee Type - Speaker

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	2.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	40	97.6		
Total		41	100.0		

Attendee Type - Other

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	41	100.0

How would you describe your pre-conference leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Beginning	10	24.4	31.3	31.3
	Intermediate	15	36.6	46.9	78.1
	Advanced	7	17.1	21.9	100.0
Total		32	78.0	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	9	22.0		
Total		41	100.0		

Do you have BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	37	90.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Do you have nursing BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	33	80.5	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	8	19.5		
Total		41	100.0		

Appendix II: Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results for All Respondents

BA nursing year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1957	1	2.4	3.6	3.6
	1965	2	4.9	7.1	10.7
	1966	2	4.9	7.1	17.9
	1968	2	4.9	7.1	25.0
	1969	2	4.9	7.1	32.1
	1970	2	4.9	7.1	39.3
	1971	1	2.4	3.6	42.9
	1973	1	2.4	3.6	46.4
	1974	1	2.4	3.6	50.0
	1975	3	7.3	10.7	60.7
	1976	1	2.4	3.6	64.3
	1977	1	2.4	3.6	67.9
	1979	1	2.4	3.6	71.4
	1981	1	2.4	3.6	75.0
	1982	1	2.4	3.6	78.6
	1984	1	2.4	3.6	82.1
	1988	1	2.4	3.6	85.7
	1994	2	4.9	7.1	92.9
	1996	2	4.9	7.1	100.0
	Total	28	68.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	13	31.7		
Total		41	100.0		

Do you have other BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2	4.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	39	95.1		
Total		41	100.0		

Other BA year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1974	1	2.4	50.0	50.0
	1977	1	2.4	50.0	100.0
	Total	2	4.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	39	95.1		
Total		41	100.0		

Appendix II: Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results for All Respondents

Do you have MA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	37	90.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Do you have nursing MA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	34	82.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	7	17.1		
Total		41	100.0		

MA nursing year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1961	1	2.4	3.2	3.2
	1967	1	2.4	3.2	6.5
	1968	1	2.4	3.2	9.7
	1971	2	4.9	6.5	16.1
	1972	2	4.9	6.5	22.6
	1973	1	2.4	3.2	25.8
	1975	1	2.4	3.2	29.0
	1976	1	2.4	3.2	32.3
	1977	1	2.4	3.2	35.5
	1978	1	2.4	3.2	38.7
	1979	2	4.9	6.5	45.2
	1981	1	2.4	3.2	48.4
	1982	1	2.4	3.2	51.6
	1983	3	7.3	9.7	61.3
	1988	1	2.4	3.2	64.5
	1990	3	7.3	9.7	74.2
	1992	1	2.4	3.2	77.4
	1994	1	2.4	3.2	80.6
	1996	1	2.4	3.2	83.9
	1997	2	4.9	6.5	90.3
1998	2	4.9	6.5	96.8	
2000	1	2.4	3.2	100.0	
Total		31	75.6	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	10	24.4		
Total		41	100.0		

Appendix II: Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results for All Respondents

Do you have other MA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	5	12.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	36	87.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Other MA year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1978	1	2.4	33.3	33.3
	1994	1	2.4	33.3	66.7
	1995	1	2.4	33.3	100.0
Total		3	7.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	38	92.7		
Total		41	100.0		

Do you have Ph.D.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	11	26.8	29.7	29.7
	Yes	26	63.4	70.3	100.0
Total		37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

Do you have nursing Ph.D.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	17	41.5	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	24	58.5		
Total		41	100.0		

Ph.D. nursing year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1979	1	2.4	6.3	6.3
	1980	1	2.4	6.3	12.5
	1982	1	2.4	6.3	18.8
	1984	1	2.4	6.3	25.0
	1986	1	2.4	6.3	31.3
	1989	1	2.4	6.3	37.5
	1991	1	2.4	6.3	43.8
	1992	2	4.9	12.5	56.3
	1993	1	2.4	6.3	62.5
	1994	1	2.4	6.3	68.8
	2000	2	4.9	12.5	81.3
	2001	3	7.3	18.8	100.0
	Total	16	39.0	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	25	61.0		
Total		41	100.0		

Do you have other Ph.D.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	8	19.5	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	33	80.5		
Total		41	100.0		

Other Ph.D. year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1972	1	2.4	12.5	12.5
	1978	1	2.4	12.5	25.0
	1979	1	2.4	12.5	37.5
	1980	1	2.4	12.5	50.0
	1986	1	2.4	12.5	62.5
	1991	1	2.4	12.5	75.0
	1999	1	2.4	12.5	87.5
	2001	1	2.4	12.5	100.0
	Total	8	19.5	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	33	80.5		
Total		41	100.0		

Years in gerontological/geriatric nursing practice

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	5	12.2	15.2	15.2
	2	4	9.8	12.1	27.3
	5	2	4.9	6.1	33.3
	7	2	4.9	6.1	39.4
	10	5	12.2	15.2	54.5
	11	1	2.4	3.0	57.6
	12	2	4.9	6.1	63.6
	13	2	4.9	6.1	69.7
	15	2	4.9	6.1	75.8
	17	1	2.4	3.0	78.8
	20	2	4.9	6.1	84.8
	22	2	4.9	6.1	90.9
	25	2	4.9	6.1	97.0
	30	1	2.4	3.0	100.0
	Total	33	80.5	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	8	19.5		
Total		41	100.0		

Years in gerontological/geriatric nursing faculty/research

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	6	14.6	18.8	18.8
	1	1	2.4	3.1	21.9
	2	3	7.3	9.4	31.3
	4	3	7.3	9.4	40.6
	5	1	2.4	3.1	43.8
	6	2	4.9	6.3	50.0
	7	1	2.4	3.1	53.1
	10	2	4.9	6.3	59.4
	11	1	2.4	3.1	62.5
	13	1	2.4	3.1	65.6
	15	1	2.4	3.1	68.8
	17	1	2.4	3.1	71.9
	18	1	2.4	3.1	75.0
	19	1	2.4	3.1	78.1
	20	3	7.3	9.4	87.5
	21	2	4.9	6.3	93.8
	25	1	2.4	3.1	96.9
	30	1	2.4	3.1	100.0
	Total	32	78.0	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	9	22.0		
Total		41	100.0		

Years in other gerontological/geriatric nursing areas

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	6	14.6	46.2	46.2
	5	3	7.3	23.1	69.2
	7	1	2.4	7.7	76.9
	10	1	2.4	7.7	84.6
	19	1	2.4	7.7	92.3
	32	1	2.4	7.7	100.0
	Total	13	31.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	28	68.3		
Total		41	100.0		

Do you consider your primary specialty to be gerontological/geriatric nursing?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	5	12.2	14.7	14.7
	Yes	29	70.7	85.3	100.0
	Total	34	82.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	7	17.1		
Total		41	100.0		

GSA meetings you have attended in the past

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	8	19.5	21.6	21.6
	1	4	9.8	10.8	32.4
	2	4	9.8	10.8	43.2
	3	4	9.8	10.8	54.1
	4	1	2.4	2.7	56.8
	6	2	4.9	5.4	62.2
	10	3	7.3	8.1	70.3
	12	2	4.9	5.4	75.7
	15	5	12.2	13.5	89.2
	17	1	2.4	2.7	91.9
	18	1	2.4	2.7	94.6
	25	1	2.4	2.7	97.3
	26	1	2.4	2.7	100.0
	Total	37	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	4	9.8		
Total		41	100.0		

GSA meetings you have presented papers at in the past

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	12	29.3	36.4	36.4
	1	3	7.3	9.1	45.5
	2	4	9.8	12.1	57.6
	3	1	2.4	3.0	60.6
	4	1	2.4	3.0	63.6
	5	1	2.4	3.0	66.7
	7	2	4.9	6.1	72.7
	8	1	2.4	3.0	75.8
	9	1	2.4	3.0	78.8
	12	3	7.3	9.1	87.9
	15	1	2.4	3.0	90.9
	18	1	2.4	3.0	93.9
	20	1	2.4	3.0	97.0
	25	1	2.4	3.0	100.0
	Total	33	80.5	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	8	19.5		
Total		41	100.0		

Published papers you have authored/co-authored in gerontological/geriatric nursing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	7	17.1	25.0	25.0
	1	3	7.3	10.7	35.7
	2	1	2.4	3.6	39.3
	3	1	2.4	3.6	42.9
	4	1	2.4	3.6	46.4
	5	1	2.4	3.6	50.0
	6	5	12.2	17.9	67.9
	8	1	2.4	3.6	71.4
	12	2	4.9	7.1	78.6
	15	1	2.4	3.6	82.1
	20	2	4.9	7.1	89.3
	50	2	4.9	7.1	96.4
	100	1	2.4	3.6	100.0
	Total	28	68.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	13	31.7		
Total		41	100.0		

Appendix II: Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results for All Respondents

Published papers you have authored/co-authored in total

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	7	17.1	25.9	25.9
	1	2	4.9	7.4	33.3
	2	3	7.3	11.1	44.4
	3	1	2.4	3.7	48.1
	4	1	2.4	3.7	51.9
	5	1	2.4	3.7	55.6
	12	1	2.4	3.7	59.3
	15	1	2.4	3.7	63.0
	20	4	9.8	14.8	77.8
	22	1	2.4	3.7	81.5
	30	1	2.4	3.7	85.2
	50	3	7.3	11.1	96.3
	70	1	2.4	3.7	100.0
	Total	27	65.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	14	34.1		
Total		41	100.0		

Appendix III:

**Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results
for All Hartford Scholars**

Welcome - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	15	100.0	100.0	100.0

Welcome - How well did session frame conference purpose?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Excellent	14	93.3	93.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Welcome - How much did purpose match your conference expectations?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Much	15	100.0	100.0	100.0

Welcome - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	4	26.7	26.7	26.7
	Excellent	11	73.3	73.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	15	100.0	100.0	100.0

Description of CGNEs - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Very Relevant	10	66.7	66.7	73.3
	Extremely Relevant	4	26.7	26.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Very Good	7	46.7	46.7	53.3
	Excellent	7	46.7	46.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	3	20.0	20.0	20.0
	Probably	7	46.7	46.7	66.7
	Definitely	5	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Valuable	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Moderately Valuable	4	26.7	26.7	33.3
	Very Valuable	7	46.7	46.7	80.0
	Extremely Valuable	3	20.0	20.0	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Useful	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Moderately Useful	3	20.0	20.0	33.3
	Very Useful	6	40.0	40.0	73.3
	Extremely Useful	4	26.7	26.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely Not	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Probably Not	1	6.7	6.7	13.3
	Probably	5	33.3	33.3	46.7
	Definitely	8	53.3	53.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	15	100.0	100.0	100.0

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	3	20.0	20.0	20.0
	Very Relevant	6	40.0	40.0	60.0
	Extremely Relevant	6	40.0	40.0	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Fair	2	13.3	14.3	14.3
	Very Good	6	40.0	42.9	57.1
	Excellent	6	40.0	42.9	100.0
	Total	14	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably Not	2	13.3	14.3	14.3
	Not Sure	1	6.7	7.1	21.4
	Probably	4	26.7	28.6	50.0
	Definitely	7	46.7	50.0	100.0
	Total	14	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	5	33.3	35.7	35.7
	Very Valuable	5	33.3	35.7	71.4
	Extremely Valuable	4	26.7	28.6	100.0
	Total	14	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All Useful	3	20.0	21.4	21.4
	Moderately Useful	1	6.7	7.1	28.6
	Very Useful	3	20.0	21.4	50.0
	Extremely Useful	7	46.7	50.0	100.0
	Total	14	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely Not	1	6.7	7.1	7.1
	Probably	5	33.3	35.7	42.9
	Definitely	8	53.3	57.1	100.0
	Total	14	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Research Career - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	15	100.0	100.0	100.0

Research Career - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Very Relevant	2	13.3	13.3	20.0
	Extremely Relevant	12	80.0	80.0	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Excellent	13	86.7	86.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Probably	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
Definitely	14	93.3	93.3	100.0
Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Valuable	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
Extremely Valuable	13	86.7	86.7	100.0
Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Useful	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
Extremely Useful	13	86.7	86.7	100.0
Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - Should session be repeated in the future?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Probably Not	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
Definitely	13	86.7	86.7	100.0
Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	15	100.0	100.0	100.0

Strategic Communications - How relevant was session for your work?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Relevant	3	20.0	20.0	20.0
Very Relevant	7	46.7	46.7	66.7
Extremely Relevant	5	33.3	33.3	100.0
Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	4	26.7	26.7	26.7
	Very Good	9	60.0	60.0	86.7
	Excellent	2	13.3	13.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably Not	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Probably	5	33.3	33.3	46.7
	Definitely	8	53.3	53.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Valuable	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Moderately Valuable	4	26.7	26.7	40.0
	Very Valuable	5	33.3	33.3	73.3
	Extremely Valuable	4	26.7	26.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All Useful	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Slightly Useful	1	6.7	6.7	13.3
	Moderately Useful	2	13.3	13.3	26.7
	Very Useful	6	40.0	40.0	66.7
	Extremely Useful	5	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely Not	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Probably Not	3	20.0	20.0	26.7
	Not Sure	2	13.3	13.3	40.0
	Probably	4	26.7	26.7	66.7
	Definitely	5	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	15	100.0	100.0	100.0

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All Relevant	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Moderately Relevant	3	20.0	20.0	26.7
	Very Relevant	4	26.7	26.7	53.3
	Extremely Relevant	7	46.7	46.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Good	3	20.0	20.0	26.7
	Very Good	4	26.7	26.7	53.3
	Excellent	7	46.7	46.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely Not	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Probably	4	26.7	26.7	33.3
	Definitely	10	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Very Valuable	6	40.0	40.0	53.3
	Extremely Valuable	7	46.7	46.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Useful	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Very Useful	5	33.3	33.3	46.7
	Extremely Useful	8	53.3	53.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	3	20.0	21.4	21.4
	Definitely	11	73.3	78.6	100.0
	Total	14	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Linking Research - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Yes	13	86.7	86.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Linking Research - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Very Relevant	1	6.7	7.7	15.4
	Extremely Relevant	11	73.3	84.6	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Linking Research - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Very Good	1	6.7	7.7	15.4
	Excellent	11	73.3	84.6	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Linking Research - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Definitely	12	80.0	92.3	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Linking Research - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	2	13.3	15.4	15.4
	Extremely Valuable	11	73.3	84.6	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Linking Research - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Useful	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Very Useful	2	13.3	15.4	23.1
	Extremely Useful	10	66.7	76.9	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Linking Research - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Definitely	12	80.0	92.3	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Leadership Required - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Yes	13	86.7	86.7	100.0
Total		15	100.0	100.0	

Leadership Required - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Relevant	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Extremely Relevant	12	80.0	92.3	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Leadership Required - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	13	86.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Leadership Required - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	13	86.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Leadership Required - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Valuable	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Extremely Valuable	12	80.0	92.3	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Leadership Required - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Useful	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Extremely Useful	12	80.0	92.3	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Leadership Required - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably Not	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Definitely	12	80.0	92.3	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Endnotes - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Yes	13	86.7	86.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Endnotes - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Very Relevant	1	6.7	7.7	15.4
	Extremely Relevant	11	73.3	84.6	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Endnotes - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	2	13.3	15.4	15.4
	Excellent	11	73.3	84.6	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Endnotes - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Definitely	12	80.0	92.3	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Endnotes - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Very Valuable	2	13.3	15.4	23.1
	Extremely Valuable	10	66.7	76.9	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Endnotes - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Useful	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Very Useful	1	6.7	7.7	15.4
	Extremely Useful	11	73.3	84.6	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Endnotes - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Definitely	12	80.0	92.3	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

How relevant was conference for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Relevant	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Extremely Relevant	13	86.7	86.7	100.0
Total		15	100.0	100.0	

How much did conference match your expectations?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Somewhat	2	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Very Much	13	86.7	86.7	100.0
Total		15	100.0	100.0	

Would you recommend a similar conference to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Probably	1	6.7	6.7	13.3
	Definitely	13	86.7	86.7	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate conference quality?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	4	26.7	28.6	28.6
	Excellent	10	66.7	71.4	100.0
	Total	14	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

How likely are you to use information from the conference?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Somewhat Likely	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
Very Likely	14	93.3	93.3	100.0
Total	15	100.0	100.0	

How valuable was conference in helping you meet goals and objectives of your grant?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Valuable	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
Very Valuable	4	26.7	26.7	33.3
Extremely Valuable	10	66.7	66.7	100.0
Total	15	100.0	100.0	

How useful was conference in increasing your leadership skills in nursing?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Useful	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
Very Useful	4	26.7	26.7	33.3
Extremely Useful	10	66.7	66.7	100.0
Total	15	100.0	100.0	

How appropriate was number of networking opportunities?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Not enough	12	80.0	80.0	80.0
Just right	3	20.0	20.0	100.0
Total	15	100.0	100.0	

How conducive was setting of conference to networking?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately	2	13.3	15.4	15.4
Very	7	46.7	53.8	69.2
Extremely	4	26.7	30.8	100.0
Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing Not Completed	2	13.3		
Total	15	100.0		

How appropriate was combination of workshops, panels, and other sessions?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Just Right	9	60.0	64.3	64.3
	Too Didactic	5	33.3	35.7	100.0
	Total	14	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

How helpful were pre-conference materials and information packet?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All Helpful	1	6.7	7.7	7.7
	Moderately Helpful	3	20.0	23.1	30.8
	Very Helpful	7	46.7	53.8	84.6
	Extremely Helpful	2	13.3	15.4	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Female	14	93.3	93.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	29	2	13.3	14.3	14.3
	34	1	6.7	7.1	21.4
	36	1	6.7	7.1	28.6
	40	1	6.7	7.1	35.7
	42	1	6.7	7.1	42.9
	44	1	6.7	7.1	50.0
	45	2	13.3	14.3	64.3
	46	2	13.3	14.3	78.6
	48	1	6.7	7.1	85.7
	49	1	6.7	7.1	92.9
	57	1	6.7	7.1	100.0
	Total	14	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Ethnicity - African American

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	6.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	14	93.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Ethnicity - Native American

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	15	100.0

Ethnicity - Asian/Pacific Islander

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	6.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	14	93.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Ethnicity - Hispanic/Latino

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	15	100.0

Ethnicity - White

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	11	73.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	4	26.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Ethnicity - Other

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	6.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	14	93.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Attendee Type - Pre-doctoral Hartford scholar

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	60.0	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	6	40.0		
Total		15	100.0		

Attendee Type - Post-doctoral Hartford scholar

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	6	40.0	100.0	100.0
Missing Not Completed	9	60.0		
Total	15	100.0		

Attendee Type - Mentor of Hartford scholar

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	15	100.0

Attendee Type - HGCNE director/faculty/staff

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	15	100.0

Attendee Type - Investment program director/faculty/staff

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	15	100.0

Attendee Type - NYU Institute director/faculty/staff

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	15	100.0

Attendee Type - AACN/AAN director/staff

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	15	100.0

Attendee Type - AACN Baccalaureate director/staff

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	15	100.0

Attendee Type - AACN advanced practice director/staff

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	15	100.0

Attendee Type - Speaker

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	15	100.0

Attendee Type - Other

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	15	100.0

How would you describe your pre-conference leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Beginning	8	53.3	57.1	57.1
	Intermediate	5	33.3	35.7	92.9
	Advanced	1	6.7	7.1	100.0
	Total	14	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Do you have BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	15	100.0	100.0	100.0

Do you have nursing BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	13	86.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

BA nursing year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1970	1	6.7	10.0	10.0
	1975	1	6.7	10.0	20.0
	1977	1	6.7	10.0	30.0
	1981	1	6.7	10.0	40.0
	1982	1	6.7	10.0	50.0
	1984	1	6.7	10.0	60.0
	1988	1	6.7	10.0	70.0
	1994	2	13.3	20.0	90.0
	1996	1	6.7	10.0	100.0
	Total	10	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	5	33.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Do you have other BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2	13.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	13	86.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Other BA year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1974	1	6.7	50.0	50.0
	1977	1	6.7	50.0	100.0
	Total	2	13.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	13	86.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Do you have MA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	15	100.0	100.0	100.0

Do you have nursing MA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	14	93.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

MA nursing year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1979	1	6.7	8.3	8.3
	1982	1	6.7	8.3	16.7
	1983	2	13.3	16.7	33.3
	1988	1	6.7	8.3	41.7
	1990	1	6.7	8.3	50.0
	1992	1	6.7	8.3	58.3
	1994	1	6.7	8.3	66.7
	1996	1	6.7	8.3	75.0
	1997	1	6.7	8.3	83.3
	1998	1	6.7	8.3	91.7
	2000	1	6.7	8.3	100.0
	Total	12	80.0	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	3	20.0		
Total		15	100.0		

Do you have other MA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2	13.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	13	86.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Other MA year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1994	1	6.7	50.0	50.0
	1995	1	6.7	50.0	100.0
	Total	2	13.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	13	86.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Do you have Ph.D.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	9	60.0	60.0	60.0
	Yes	6	40.0	40.0	100.0
Total		15	100.0	100.0	

Do you have nursing Ph.D.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	4	26.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	11	73.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Ph.D. nursing year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2000	1	6.7	25.0	25.0
	2001	3	20.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	26.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	11	73.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Do you have other Ph.D.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2	13.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	13	86.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Other Ph.D. year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1999	1	6.7	50.0	50.0
	2001	1	6.7	50.0	100.0
	Total	2	13.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	13	86.7		
Total		15	100.0		

Years in gerontological/geriatric nursing practice

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1	6.7	6.7	6.7
	2	2	13.3	13.3	20.0
	5	1	6.7	6.7	26.7
	7	1	6.7	6.7	33.3
	10	2	13.3	13.3	46.7
	12	2	13.3	13.3	60.0
	13	1	6.7	6.7	66.7
	15	2	13.3	13.3	80.0
	22	1	6.7	6.7	86.7
	25	2	13.3	13.3	100.0
Total		15	100.0	100.0	

Years in gerontological/geriatric nursing faculty/research

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	4	26.7	30.8	30.8
	1	1	6.7	7.7	38.5
	2	3	20.0	23.1	61.5
	4	2	13.3	15.4	76.9
	6	1	6.7	7.7	84.6
	11	1	6.7	7.7	92.3
	15	1	6.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Years in other gerontological/geriatric nursing areas

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	4	26.7	66.7	66.7
	5	1	6.7	16.7	83.3
	7	1	6.7	16.7	100.0
	Total	6	40.0	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	9	60.0		
Total		15	100.0		

Do you consider your primary specialty to be gerontological/geriatric nursing?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	14	93.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	1	6.7		
Total		15	100.0		

GSA meetings you have attended in the past

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	5	33.3	33.3	33.3
	1	4	26.7	26.7	60.0
	2	4	26.7	26.7	86.7
	3	2	13.3	13.3	100.0
	Total	15	100.0	100.0	

GSA meetings you have presented papers at in the past

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	8	53.3	66.7	66.7
	1	2	13.3	16.7	83.3
	2	2	13.3	16.7	100.0
	Total	12	80.0	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	3	20.0		
Total		15	100.0		

Published papers you have authored/co-authored in gerontological/geriatric nursing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	5	33.3	38.5	38.5
	1	3	20.0	23.1	61.5
	2	1	6.7	7.7	69.2
	6	2	13.3	15.4	84.6
	12	1	6.7	7.7	92.3
	20	1	6.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Published papers you have authored/co-authored in total

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	4	26.7	30.8	30.8
	1	2	13.3	15.4	46.2
	2	3	20.0	23.1	69.2
	3	1	6.7	7.7	76.9
	5	1	6.7	7.7	84.6
	20	1	6.7	7.7	92.3
	22	1	6.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	13	86.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	13.3		
Total		15	100.0		

Appendix IV:

**Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results
for Hartford Pre-Doctoral Scholars**

Welcome - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Welcome - How well did session frame conference purpose?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Excellent	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Welcome - How much did purpose match your conference expectations?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Much	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Welcome - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	3	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Excellent	6	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Description of CGNEs - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Very Relevant	7	77.8	77.8	88.9
	Extremely Relevant	1	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Very Good	5	55.6	55.6	66.7
	Excellent	3	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Not Sure	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
Probably	5	55.6	55.6	66.7
Definitely	3	33.3	33.3	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Valuable	3	33.3	33.3	33.3
Very Valuable	4	44.4	44.4	77.8
Extremely Valuable	2	22.2	22.2	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Useful	2	22.2	22.2	22.2
Very Useful	5	55.6	55.6	77.8
Extremely Useful	2	22.2	22.2	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Should session be repeated in the future?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Probably	4	44.4	44.4	44.4
Definitely	5	55.6	55.6	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Very Relevant	4	44.4	44.4	55.6
	Extremely Relevant	4	44.4	44.4	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	4	44.4	50.0	50.0
	Excellent	4	44.4	50.0	100.0
	Total	8	88.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	11.1		
Total		9	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	1	11.1	12.5	12.5
	Probably	2	22.2	25.0	37.5
	Definitely	5	55.6	62.5	100.0
	Total	8	88.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	11.1		
Total		9	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	1	11.1	12.5	12.5
	Very Valuable	3	33.3	37.5	50.0
	Extremely Valuable	4	44.4	50.0	100.0
	Total	8	88.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	11.1		
Total		9	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Useful	1	11.1	12.5	12.5
	Very Useful	1	11.1	12.5	25.0
	Extremely Useful	6	66.7	75.0	100.0
	Total	8	88.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	11.1		
Total		9	100.0		

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	2	22.2	25.0	25.0
	Definitely	6	66.7	75.0	100.0
	Total	8	88.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	11.1		
Total		9	100.0		

Research Career - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Research Career - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Relevant	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Extremely Relevant	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	2	22.2	22.2	22.2
	Excellent	7	77.8	77.8	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Research Career - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Extremely Valuable	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Research Career - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Extremely Useful	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Research Career - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Strategic Communications - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Strategic Communications - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Very Relevant	5	55.6	55.6	66.7
	Extremely Relevant	3	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	2	22.2	22.2	22.2
	Very Good	6	66.7	66.7	88.9
	Excellent	1	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	4	44.4	44.4	44.4
	Definitely	5	55.6	55.6	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	3	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Very Valuable	3	33.3	33.3	66.7
	Extremely Valuable	3	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Useful	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Very Useful	4	44.4	44.4	55.6
	Extremely Useful	4	44.4	44.4	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Probably	4	44.4	44.4	55.6
	Definitely	4	44.4	44.4	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	3	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Very Relevant	2	22.2	22.2	55.6
	Extremely Relevant	4	44.4	44.4	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Session quality

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Good	3	33.3	33.3	33.3
Very Good	2	22.2	22.2	55.6
Excellent	4	44.4	44.4	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Probably	3	33.3	33.3	33.3
Definitely	6	66.7	66.7	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Valuable	2	22.2	22.2	22.2
Very Valuable	3	33.3	33.3	55.6
Extremely Valuable	4	44.4	44.4	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Useful	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
Very Useful	3	33.3	33.3	44.4
Extremely Useful	5	55.6	55.6	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Should session be repeated in the future?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Probably	2	22.2	22.2	22.2
Definitely	7	77.8	77.8	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Linking Research - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Linking Research - How relevant was session for your work?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Relevant	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
Extremely Relevant	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Linking Research - Session quality

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Good	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
Excellent	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Linking Research - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Probably	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
Definitely	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Linking Research - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Extremely Valuable	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Linking Research - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Useful	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
Extremely Useful	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Linking Research - Should session be repeated in the future?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Definitely	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Leadership Required - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Leadership Required - How relevant was session for your work?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Relevant	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
Extremely Relevant	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Leadership Required - Session quality

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Excellent	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Leadership Required - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Definitely	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Leadership Required - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Extremely Valuable	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Leadership Required - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Extremely Useful	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Leadership Required - Should session be repeated in the future?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Definitely	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Endnotes - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Endnotes - How relevant was session for your work?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Relevant	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
Extremely Relevant	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Endnotes - Session quality

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Good	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
Excellent	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Endnotes - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Definitely	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Endnotes - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Valuable	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
Extremely Valuable	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Endnotes - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Extremely Useful	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Endnotes - Should session be repeated in the future?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Definitely	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

How relevant was conference for your work?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Extremely Relevant	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

How much did conference match your expectations?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Much	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Would you recommend a similar conference to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

How would you rate conference quality?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	2	22.2	22.2	22.2
	Excellent	7	77.8	77.8	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

How likely are you to use information from the conference?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Likely	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

How valuable was conference in helping you meet goals and objectives of your grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Valuable	3	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Extremely Valuable	6	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

How useful was conference in increasing your leadership skills in nursing?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Useful	2	22.2	22.2	22.2
	Extremely Useful	7	77.8	77.8	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

How appropriate was number of networking opportunities?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not enough	6	66.7	66.7	66.7
	Just right	3	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

How conducive was setting of conference to networking?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately	2	22.2	25.0	25.0
	Very	3	33.3	37.5	62.5
	Extremely	3	33.3	37.5	100.0
	Total	8	88.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	11.1		
Total		9	100.0		

How appropriate was combination of workshops, panels, and other sessions?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Just Right	7	77.8	77.8	77.8
	Too Didactic	2	22.2	22.2	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

How helpful were pre-conference materials and information packet?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Helpful	3	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Very Helpful	5	55.6	55.6	88.9
	Extremely Helpful	1	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
	Female	8	88.9	88.9	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	29	2	22.2	25.0	25.0
	34	1	11.1	12.5	37.5
	36	1	11.1	12.5	50.0
	42	1	11.1	12.5	62.5
	45	1	11.1	12.5	75.0
	46	1	11.1	12.5	87.5
	48	1	11.1	12.5	100.0
	Total	8	88.9	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	11.1		
Total		9	100.0		

Ethnicity - African American

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	11.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	8	88.9		
Total		9	100.0		

Ethnicity - Native American

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Ethnicity - Asian/Pacific Islander

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	11.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	8	88.9		
Total		9	100.0		

Ethnicity - Hispanic/Latino

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Ethnicity - White

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	6	66.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	3	33.3		
Total		9	100.0		

Ethnicity - Other

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Attendee Type - Pre-doctoral Hartford scholar

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Attendee Type - Post-doctoral Hartford scholar

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Attendee Type - Mentor of Hartford scholar

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Attendee Type - HGCNE director/faculty/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Attendee Type - Investment program director/faculty/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Attendee Type - NYU Institute director/faculty/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Attendee Type - AACN/AAN director/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Attendee Type - AACN Baccalaureate director/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Attendee Type - AACN advanced practice director/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Attendee Type - Speaker

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Attendee Type - Other

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

How would you describe your pre-conference leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Beginning	7	77.8	77.8	77.8
	Intermediate	2	22.2	22.2	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Do you have BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Do you have nursing BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	8	88.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	1	11.1		
	Total	9	100.0		

BA nursing year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1975	1	11.1	16.7	16.7
	1977	1	11.1	16.7	33.3
	1981	1	11.1	16.7	50.0
	1988	1	11.1	16.7	66.7
	1994	1	11.1	16.7	83.3
	1996	1	11.1	16.7	100.0
	Total	6	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	3	33.3		
	Total	9	100.0		

Do you have other BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	11.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	8	88.9		
Total		9	100.0		

Other BA year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1977	1	11.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	8	88.9		
Total		9	100.0		

Do you have MA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Do you have nursing MA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	8	88.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	1	11.1		
Total		9	100.0		

MA nursing year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1983	1	11.1	16.7	16.7
	1988	1	11.1	16.7	33.3
	1992	1	11.1	16.7	50.0
	1994	1	11.1	16.7	66.7
	1998	1	11.1	16.7	83.3
	2000	1	11.1	16.7	100.0
	Total	6	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	3	33.3		
Total		9	100.0		

Do you have other MA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2	22.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	7	77.8		
Total		9	100.0		

Other MA year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1994	1	11.1	50.0	50.0
	1995	1	11.1	50.0	100.0
	Total	2	22.2	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	7	77.8		
Total		9	100.0		

Do you have Ph.D.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Do you have nursing Ph.D.?

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Ph.D. nursing year

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Do you have other Ph.D.?

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Other Ph.D. year

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	9	100.0

Years in gerontological/geriatric nursing practice

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1	11.1	11.1	11.1
	2	1	11.1	11.1	22.2
	5	1	11.1	11.1	33.3
	7	1	11.1	11.1	44.4
	12	2	22.2	22.2	66.7
	15	2	22.2	22.2	88.9
	25	1	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

Years in gerontological/geriatric nursing faculty/research

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	3	33.3	42.9	42.9
	1	1	11.1	14.3	57.1
	2	2	22.2	28.6	85.7
	6	1	11.1	14.3	100.0
	Total	7	77.8	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	22.2		
	Total	9	100.0		

Years in other gerontological/geriatric nursing areas

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	3	33.3	75.0	75.0
	5	1	11.1	25.0	100.0
	Total	4	44.4	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	5	55.6		
	Total	9	100.0		

Do you consider your primary specialty to be gerontological/geriatric nursing?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

GSA meetings you have attended in the past

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	4	44.4	44.4	44.4
	1	4	44.4	44.4	88.9
	2	1	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	9	100.0	100.0	

GSA meetings you have presented papers at in the past

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	6	66.7	85.7	85.7
	1	1	11.1	14.3	100.0
	Total	7	77.8	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	22.2		
Total		9	100.0		

Published papers you have authored/co-authored in gerontological/geriatric nursing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	3	33.3	42.9	42.9
	1	2	22.2	28.6	71.4
	2	1	11.1	14.3	85.7
	6	1	11.1	14.3	100.0
	Total	7	77.8	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	22.2		
Total		9	100.0		

Published papers you have authored/co-authored in total

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	4	44.4	57.1	57.1
	1	1	11.1	14.3	71.4
	2	1	11.1	14.3	85.7
	20	1	11.1	14.3	100.0
	Total	7	77.8	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	22.2		
Total		9	100.0		

Appendix V:

**Supplemental Quantitative Evaluation Results
for Hartford Post-Doctoral Scholars**

Welcome - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	9	100.0	100.0	100.0

Welcome - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Welcome - How well did session frame conference purpose?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Excellent	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Welcome - How much did purpose match your conference expectations?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Much	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Welcome - Session quality

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Good	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
Excellent	5	83.3	83.3	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Description of CGNEs - How relevant was session for your work?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Relevant	3	50.0	50.0	50.0
Extremely Relevant	3	50.0	50.0	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Excellent	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Probably	2	33.3	33.3	66.7
	Definitely	2	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Valuable	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Moderately Valuable	1	16.7	16.7	33.3
	Very Valuable	3	50.0	50.0	83.3
	Extremely Valuable	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Useful	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Moderately Useful	1	16.7	16.7	50.0
	Very Useful	1	16.7	16.7	66.7
	Extremely Useful	2	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Description of CGNEs - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely Not	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Probably Not	1	16.7	16.7	33.3
	Probably	1	16.7	16.7	50.0
	Definitely	3	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How relevant was session for your work?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Relevant	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
Very Relevant	2	33.3	33.3	66.7
Extremely Relevant	2	33.3	33.3	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Session quality

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Fair	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
Very Good	2	33.3	33.3	66.7
Excellent	2	33.3	33.3	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Probably Not	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
Probably	2	33.3	33.3	66.7
Definitely	2	33.3	33.3	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Valuable	4	66.7	66.7	66.7
Very Valuable	2	33.3	33.3	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Not at All Useful	3	50.0	50.0	50.0
Very Useful	2	33.3	33.3	83.3
Extremely Useful	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 1 (CGNE) - Should session be repeated in the future?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Definitely Not	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
Probably	3	50.0	50.0	66.7
Definitely	2	33.3	33.3	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Research Career - How relevant was session for your work?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Moderately Relevant	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
Very Relevant	1	16.7	16.7	33.3
Extremely Relevant	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - Session quality

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Excellent	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Research Career - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Probably	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
Definitely	5	83.3	83.3	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Extremely Valuable	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Useful	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Extremely Useful	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Research Career - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably Not	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Definitely	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Strategic Communications - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Very Relevant	2	33.3	33.3	66.7
	Extremely Relevant	2	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Very Good	3	50.0	50.0	83.3
	Excellent	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Probably Not	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
Probably	1	16.7	16.7	50.0
Definitely	3	50.0	50.0	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Slightly Valuable	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
Moderately Valuable	1	16.7	16.7	50.0
Very Valuable	2	33.3	33.3	83.3
Extremely Valuable	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Not at All Useful	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
Slightly Useful	1	16.7	16.7	33.3
Moderately Useful	1	16.7	16.7	50.0
Very Useful	2	33.3	33.3	83.3
Extremely Useful	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Strategic Communications - Should session be repeated in the future?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Definitely Not	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
Probably Not	3	50.0	50.0	66.7
Not Sure	1	16.7	16.7	83.3
Definitely	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Attended session

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All Relevant	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Very Relevant	2	33.3	33.3	50.0
	Extremely Relevant	3	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Very Good	2	33.3	33.3	50.0
	Excellent	3	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely Not	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Probably	1	16.7	16.7	33.3
	Definitely	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Valuable	3	50.0	50.0	50.0
	Extremely Valuable	3	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Useful	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Very Useful	2	33.3	33.3	50.0
	Extremely Useful	3	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Networking Lunch 2 (Advisory) - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	1	16.7	20.0	20.0
	Definitely	4	66.7	80.0	100.0
	Total	5	83.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	16.7		
Total		6	100.0		

Linking Research - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Yes	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Linking Research - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Extremely Relevant	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Linking Research - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Good	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Excellent	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Linking Research - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	4	66.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Linking Research - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	2	33.3	50.0	50.0
	Extremely Valuable	2	33.3	50.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Linking Research - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Useful	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Very Useful	1	16.7	25.0	50.0
	Extremely Useful	2	33.3	50.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Linking Research - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Definitely	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Leadership Required - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Yes	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
Total		6	100.0	100.0	

Leadership Required - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Extremely Relevant	4	66.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Leadership Required - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	4	66.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Leadership Required - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	4	66.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Leadership Required - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Valuable	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Extremely Valuable	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Leadership Required - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Useful	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Extremely Useful	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Leadership Required - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably Not	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Definitely	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Endnotes - Attended session

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Yes	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Endnotes - How relevant was session for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Relevant	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Extremely Relevant	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Endnotes - Session quality

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Excellent	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Endnotes - Would you recommend a similar session to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Definitely	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Endnotes - How valuable was session in helping you meet goals of grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Very Valuable	1	16.7	25.0	50.0
	Extremely Valuable	2	33.3	50.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Endnotes - How useful was session in increasing your leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Slightly Useful	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Very Useful	1	16.7	25.0	50.0
	Extremely Useful	2	33.3	50.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Endnotes - Should session be repeated in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Probably	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Definitely	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Did Not Attend	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

How relevant was conference for your work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Relevant	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Extremely Relevant	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

How much did conference match your expectations?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Somewhat	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	Very Much	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Would you recommend a similar conference to a peer?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Sure	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Probably	1	16.7	16.7	33.3
	Definitely	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

How would you rate conference quality?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Good	2	33.3	40.0	40.0
	Excellent	3	50.0	60.0	100.0
	Total	5	83.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	16.7		
Total		6	100.0		

How likely are you to use information from the conference?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Somewhat Likely	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Very Likely	5	83.3	83.3	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

How valuable was conference in helping you meet goals and objectives of your grant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Valuable	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Very Valuable	1	16.7	16.7	33.3
	Extremely Valuable	4	66.7	66.7	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

How useful was conference in increasing your leadership skills in nursing?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Moderately Useful	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	Very Useful	2	33.3	33.3	50.0
	Extremely Useful	3	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	6	100.0	100.0	

How appropriate was number of networking opportunities?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not enough	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

How conducive was setting of conference to networking?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very	4	66.7	80.0	80.0
	Extremely	1	16.7	20.0	100.0
	Total	5	83.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	16.7		
Total		6	100.0		

How appropriate was combination of workshops, panels, and other sessions?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Just Right	2	33.3	40.0	40.0
	Too Didactic	3	50.0	60.0	100.0
	Total	5	83.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	16.7		
Total		6	100.0		

How helpful were pre-conference materials and information packet?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not at All Helpful	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	Very Helpful	2	33.3	50.0	75.0
	Extremely Helpful	1	16.7	25.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Female	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	40	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	44	1	16.7	16.7	33.3
	45	1	16.7	16.7	50.0
	46	1	16.7	16.7	66.7
	49	1	16.7	16.7	83.3
	57	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total		6	100.0	100.0	

Ethnicity - African American

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Ethnicity - Native American

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Ethnicity - Asian/Pacific Islander

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Ethnicity - Hispanic/Latino

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Ethnicity - White

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	5	83.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	1	16.7		
Total		6	100.0		

Ethnicity - Other

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	16.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	5	83.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Attendee Type - Pre-doctoral Hartford scholar

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Attendee Type - Post-doctoral Hartford scholar

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Attendee Type - Mentor of Hartford scholar

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Attendee Type - HGCNE director/faculty/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Attendee Type - Investment program director/faculty/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Attendee Type - NYU Institute director/faculty/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Attendee Type - AACN/AAN director/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Attendee Type - AACN Baccalaureate director/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Attendee Type - AACN advanced practice director/staff

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Attendee Type - Speaker

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

Attendee Type - Other

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	Not Completed	6	100.0

How would you describe your pre-conference leadership skills?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Beginning	1	16.7	20.0	20.0
	Intermediate	3	50.0	60.0	80.0
	Advanced	1	16.7	20.0	100.0
	Total	5	83.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	16.7		
Total		6	100.0		

Do you have BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Do you have nursing BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	5	83.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	1	16.7		
Total		6	100.0		

BA nursing year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1970	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	1982	1	16.7	25.0	50.0
	1984	1	16.7	25.0	75.0
	1994	1	16.7	25.0	100.0
Total		4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Do you have other BA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1	16.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	5	83.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Other BA year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1974	1	16.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	5	83.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Do you have MA?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Do you have nursing MA?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

MA nursing year

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1979	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
1982	1	16.7	16.7	33.3
1983	1	16.7	16.7	50.0
1990	1	16.7	16.7	66.7
1996	1	16.7	16.7	83.3
1997	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	

Do you have other MA?

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	6	100.0

Other MA year

	Frequency	Percent
Missing Not Completed	6	100.0

Do you have Ph.D.?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	6	100.0	100.0	100.0

Do you have nursing Ph.D.?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	4	66.7	100.0	100.0
Missing Not Completed	2	33.3		
Total	6	100.0		

Ph.D. nursing year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2000	1	16.7	25.0	25.0
	2001	3	50.0	75.0	100.0
	Total	4	66.7	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	2	33.3		
Total		6	100.0		

Do you have other Ph.D.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2	33.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	4	66.7		
Total		6	100.0		

Other Ph.D. year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1999	1	16.7	50.0	50.0
	2001	1	16.7	50.0	100.0
	Total	2	33.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	4	66.7		
Total		6	100.0		

Years in gerontological/geriatric nursing practice

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	10	2	33.3	33.3	50.0
	13	1	16.7	16.7	66.7
	22	1	16.7	16.7	83.3
	25	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total		6	100.0	100.0	

Years in gerontological/geriatric nursing faculty/research

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	2	1	16.7	16.7	33.3
	4	2	33.3	33.3	66.7
	11	1	16.7	16.7	83.3
	15	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total		6	100.0	100.0	

Years in other gerontological/geriatric nursing areas

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1	16.7	50.0	50.0
	7	1	16.7	50.0	100.0
	Total	2	33.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	4	66.7		
Total		6	100.0		

Do you consider your primary specialty to be gerontological/geriatric nursing?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	5	83.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	Not Completed	1	16.7		
Total		6	100.0		

GSA meetings you have attended in the past

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
	2	3	50.0	50.0	66.7
	3	2	33.3	33.3	100.0
Total		6	100.0	100.0	

GSA meetings you have presented papers at in the past

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	2	33.3	40.0	40.0
	1	1	16.7	20.0	60.0
	2	2	33.3	40.0	100.0
	Total	5	83.3	100.0	
Missing	Not Completed	1	16.7		
Total		6	100.0		

Published papers you have authored/co-authored in gerontological/geriatric nursing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	2	33.3	33.3	33.3
	1	1	16.7	16.7	50.0
	6	1	16.7	16.7	66.7
	12	1	16.7	16.7	83.3
	20	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total		6	100.0	100.0	

Published papers you have authored/co-authored in total

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	1	16.7	16.7	16.7
2	2	33.3	33.3	50.0
3	1	16.7	16.7	66.7
5	1	16.7	16.7	83.3
22	1	16.7	16.7	100.0
Total	6	100.0	100.0	