

## Appendix I: Response Ratings of all Sessions by Attendee Type: Directors, Faculty, and Staff

**Table A-I: Evaluation Response Ratings for all Sessions from Directors (N=5)**

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
<b>Pre-Meeting Session</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=4)	Extremely Relevant	50.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=4)	Excellent	50.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	100.0%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=4)	Definitely	100.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Valuable	50.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	100.0%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was the session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objective, programs, outcomes)? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated this session? (n=4)	Session Length about Right	75.0%
	Session Too Short	0.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=4)	Definitely	75.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
<b>Welcome (Strumpf, Meleis, and Maas)</b>		
How well did this session frame the purpose of the meeting? (n=5)	Excellent	80.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	100.0%
How much did the purpose (as described in this session) match your expectations about the meeting? (n=5)	Very Much	100.0%
	Very Much or Somewhat	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=5)	Excellent	40.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	100.0%

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
<b>“The Concept of Leveraging: Individual vs. Collective Agendas and Cultures” (Chater)</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=5)	Extremely Relevant	80.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=5)	Excellent	100.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	100.0%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=5)	Definitely	100.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=5)	Extremely Valuable	60.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	100.0%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	40.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	60.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	80.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objectives, programs, outcomes) among the HCGNEs? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	60.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	80.0%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	40.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated to this session? (n=5)	Session Length about Right	80.0%
	Session Too Short	20.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=4)	Definitely	75.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
<b>Synergy Break Out Groups: Charge to Group, Break Out Groups, and Group Reports HCGNE Directors, Claire Fagin</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=5)	Extremely Relevant	60.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=5)	Excellent	20.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	100.0%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=5)	Definitely	80.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=5)	Extremely Valuable	20.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	100.0%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	40.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	80.0%

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
How useful was this session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	40.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objectives, programs, outcomes) among the HCGNEs? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	60.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	20.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated to this session? (n=5)	Session Length about Right	80.0%
	Session Too Short	20.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=5)	Definitely	60.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
<b>Leveraging Break Out Groups: Opening Remarks, Break Out Groups, and Group Reports (Group 1: Claire Fagin; Group 2: Sarajane Brittis; Group 3: Ellen Rudy)</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=5)	Extremely Relevant	20.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=5)	Excellent	20.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	80.0%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=5)	Definitely	60.0%
	Definitely or Probably	80.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=5)	Extremely Valuable	40.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	100.0%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	40.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	80.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	20.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objectives, programs, outcomes) among the HCGNEs? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	40.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=5)	Extremely Useful	40.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated to this session? (n=5)	Session Length about Right	80.0%
	Session Too Short	20.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=5)	Definitely	60.0%
	Definitely or Probably	80.0%

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
<b>Open Forum: A Year In Review: Observations and Accomplishments</b> (Fagin and Huba)		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=4)	Extremely Relevant	50.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=4)	Excellent	50.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	100.0%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=4)	Definitely	100.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Valuable	50.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	100.0%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was the session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	25.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	75.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objective, programs, outcomes)? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	25.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	25.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated this session? (n=4)	Session Length about Right	50.0%
	Session Too Short	50.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=3)	Definitely	66.7%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
<b>Meeting Summary:</b> <b>“Is There a Brand and a Strategy to Leverage the Future: Where Do We Go from Here?”</b> (Fagin and Brittis)		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=4)	Extremely Relevant	75.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=4)	Excellent	75.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	100.0%
How useful was the session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objective, programs, outcomes)? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	25.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated this session? (n=4)	Session Length about Right	75.0%
	Session Too Short	25.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future	Definitely	75.0%

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
HCGNE annual meetings? (n=4)	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
<b>Overall Meeting Evaluation</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=5)	Extremely Relevant	80.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How much did the annual meeting match your expectations? (n=5)	Totally	0.0%
	Totally or Very Much	100.0%
What is your view of the focus of the meeting? (n=5)	About Right	100.0%
	Too Broad	0.0%
	Too Narrow	0.0%
Next year, should the meeting be broader (more topics) or narrower (less topics)? (n=5)	About the Same	40.0%
	Broader	0.0%
	Narrower	60.0%
What is your view of the total length of the meeting and the Pre-Meeting Sessions? (n=5)	About right (current 2- day meeting)	100.0%
	Too long by ½ day	0.0%
	Too short by ½ day	0.0%
How long should the meeting be next year? (n=5)	2 days	60.0%

**Table A-II: Evaluation Response Ratings for all Sessions from Faculty (N=12)**

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
<b>Welcome</b> (Strumpf, Meleis, and Maas)		
How well did this session frame the purpose of the meeting? (n=11)	Excellent	27.3%
	Excellent or Very Good	90.9%
How much did the purpose (as described in this session) match your expectations about the meeting? (n=11)	Very Much	63.6%
	Very Much or Somewhat	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=11)	Excellent	18.2%
	Excellent or Very Good	81.8%
<b>“The Concept of Leveraging: Individual vs. Collective Agendas and Cultures”</b> (Chater)		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=12)	Extremely Relevant	16.7%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	66.7%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=12)	Excellent	33.3%
	Excellent or Very Good	66.6%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=12)	Definitely	25.0%
	Definitely or Probably	91.7%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=12)	Extremely Valuable	16.7%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	66.7%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=12)	Extremely Useful	16.7%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	41.7%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=11)	Extremely Useful	18.2%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	45.5%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objectives, programs, outcomes) among the HCGNEs? (n=11)	Extremely Useful	9.1%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	36.4%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=11)	Extremely Useful	9.1%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	27.3%
Was the correct amount of time allocated to this session? (n=12)	Session Length about Right	75.0%
	Session Too Short	8.3%
	Session Too Long	16.7%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=12)	Definitely	25.0%
	Definitely or Probably	66.7%

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
<b>Synergy Break Out Groups: Charge to Group, Break Out Groups, and Group Reports Faculty Members, Ellen Rudy</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=12)	Extremely Relevant	41.7%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	83.4%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=12)	Excellent	41.7%
	Excellent or Very Good	83.4%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=12)	Definitely	50.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=12)	Extremely Valuable	25.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	83.3%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=12)	Extremely Useful	16.7%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	66.7%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=12)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	83.3%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objectives, programs, outcomes) among the HCGNEs? (n=12)	Extremely Useful	33.3%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	83.3%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=12)	Extremely Useful	8.3%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	50.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated to this session? (n=12)	Session Length about Right	83.3%
	Session Too Short	16.7%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=12)	Definitely	50.0%
	Definitely or Probably	83.3%
<b>Leveraging Break Out Groups: Opening Remarks, Break Out Groups, and Group Reports (Group 1: Claire Fagin; Group 2: Sarajane Brittis; Group 3: Ellen Rudy)</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=12)	Extremely Relevant	58.3%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	83.3%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=12)	Excellent	50.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	75.0%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=12)	Definitely	41.7%
	Definitely or Probably	83.4%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=12)	Extremely Valuable	33.3%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	66.6%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=12)	Extremely Useful	41.7%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	75.0%

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
How useful was this session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=12)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	83.3%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objectives, programs, outcomes) among the HCGNEs? (n=12)	Extremely Useful	41.7%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	83.4%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=12)	Extremely Useful	41.7%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	66.7%
Was the correct amount of time allocated to this session? (n=12)	Session Length about Right	83.3%
	Session Too Short	8.3%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=11)	Definitely	63.6%
	Definitely or Probably	81.8%
<b>Open Forum: A Year In Review: Observations and Accomplishments</b> (Fagin and Huba)		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=11)	Extremely Relevant	72.7%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	81.8%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=11)	Excellent	54.5%
	Excellent or Very Good	81.8%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=11)	Definitely	72.7%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=11)	Extremely Valuable	36.4%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	72.8%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=11)	Extremely Useful	36.4%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	45.5%
How useful was the session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=11)	Extremely Useful	27.3%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	81.8%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objective, programs, outcomes)? (n=11)	Extremely Useful	18.2%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	63.7%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=11)	Extremely Useful	36.4%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	45.5%
Was the correct amount of time allocated this session? (n=11)	Session Length about Right	72.7%
	Session Too Short	9.1%
	Session Too Long	18.2%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=11)	Definitely	54.5%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
<b>Meeting Summary: “Is There a Brand and a Strategy to Leverage the Future: Where Do We Go from Here?” (Fagin and Brittis)</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=9)	Excellent	22.2%
	Excellent or Very Good	66.6%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=9)	Extremely Relevant	11.1%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	88.9%
How useful was the session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=9)	Extremely Useful	0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	66.7%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objective, programs, outcomes)? (n=9)	Extremely Useful	11.1%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	66.7%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=9)	Extremely Useful	0.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	33.3%
Was the correct amount of time allocated this session? (n=9)	Session Length about Right	77.8%
	Session Too Short	22.2%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=9)	Definitely	55.6%
	Definitely or Probably	88.9%
<b>Overall Meeting Evaluation</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=12)	Extremely Relevant	33.3%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	66.6%
How much did the annual meeting match your expectations? (n=10)	Totally	20.0%
	Totally or Very Much	60.0%
What is your view of the focus of the meeting? (n=11)	About Right	81.8%
	Too Broad	18.2%
	Too Narrow	0.0%
Next year, should the meeting be broader (more topics) or narrower (less topics)? (n=10)	About the Same	40.0%
	Broader	10.0%
	Narrower	50.0%
What is your view of the total length of the meeting and the Pre-Meeting Sessions? (n=11)	About right (current 2- day meeting)	90.9%
	Too long by ½ day	0.0%
	Too short by ½ day	0.0%
How long should the meeting be next year? (n=12)	2 days	33.3%

**Table A-III: Evaluation Response Ratings for all Sessions from Staff (N=4)**

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
<b>Pre-Meeting Session</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=4)	Extremely Relevant	100.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=4)	Excellent	100.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	100.0%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=4)	Definitely	100.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Valuable	75.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	100.0%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	75.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was the session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	100.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objective, programs, outcomes)? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	100.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated this session? (n=4)	Session Length about Right	25.0%
	Session Too Short	25.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=4)	Definitely	100.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
<b>Welcome (Strumpf, Meleis, and Maas)</b>		
How well did this session frame the purpose of the meeting? (n=4)	Excellent	50.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	75.0%
How much did the purpose (as described in this session) match your expectations about the meeting? (n=4)	Very Much	75.0%
	Very Much or Somewhat	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=4)	Excellent	50.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	50.0%
<b>“The Concept of Leveraging: Individual vs. Collective Agendas and Cultures” (Chater)</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=4)	Extremely Relevant	50.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=4)	Excellent	25.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	100.0%

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percent of Respondents</b>
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=4)	Definitely	75.0%
	Definitely or Probably	75.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Valuable	25.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	50.0%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	0.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	25.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	25.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	75.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objectives, programs, outcomes) among the HCGNEs? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	0.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	75.0%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	25.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	25.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated to this session? (n=4)	Session Length about Right	75.0%
	Session Too Short	0.0%
	Session Too Long	25.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=4)	Definitely	50.0%
	Definitely or Probably	75.0%
<b>Synergy Break Out Groups: Charge to Group, Break Out Groups, and Group Reports</b> HCGNE Directors, Claire Fagin; Administrators/Coordinators, Sarajane Brittis; Faculty Members, Ellen Rudy		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=4)	Extremely Relevant	50.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=4)	Excellent	50.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	100.0%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=4)	Definitely	75.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Valuable	25.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	100.0%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	25.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	75.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	75.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objectives, programs, outcomes) among the HCGNEs? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	75.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	75.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated to this session? (n=4)	Session Length about Right	75.0%
	Session Too Short	25.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=4)	Definitely	50.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
<b>Leveraging Break Out Groups: Opening Remarks, Break Out Groups, and Group Reports (Group 1: Claire Fagin; Group 2: Sarajane Brittis; Group 3: Ellen Rudy)</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=4)	Extremely Relevant	50.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=4)	Excellent	25.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	75.0%
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=4)	Definitely	50.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Valuable	50.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	50.0%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	0.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	50.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	75.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objectives, programs, outcomes) among the HCGNEs? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	75.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	100.0%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	25.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	50.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated to this session? (n=4)	Session Length about Right	100.0%
	Session Too Short	0.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=4)	Definitely	75.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
<b>Open Forum: A Year In Review: Observations and Accomplishments (Fagin and Huba)</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=4)	Extremely Relevant	25.0%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	75.0%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=4)	Excellent	50.0%
	Excellent or Very Good	75.0%

Variable	Indicator	Percent of Respondents
Would you recommend a similar session to another HCGNE faculty or staff? (n=4)	Definitely	25.0%
	Definitely or Probably	75.0%
How valuable was the content of this session for helping you meet the goals and objectives of your HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Valuable	0.0%
	Extremely Valuable or Very Valuable	75.0%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	0.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	50.0%
How useful was the session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	50.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	50.0%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objective, programs, outcomes)? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	25.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	50.0%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=4)	Extremely Useful	0.0%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	25.0%
Was the correct amount of time allocated this session? (n=4)	Session Length about Right	100.0%
	Session Too Short	0.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=3)	Definitely	0.0%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
<b>Meeting Summary:</b> <b>“Is There a Brand and a Strategy to Leverage the Future: Where Do We Go from Here?”</b> (Fagin and Brittis)		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=3)	Extremely Relevant	33.3%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	66.6%
How would you rate the session quality? (n=3)	Excellent	33.3%
	Excellent or Very Good	66.6%
How useful was the session for promoting/providing collaboration among HCGNE Directors, Faculty, and Staff? (n=3)	Extremely Useful	33.3%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	66.6%
How useful was this session for promoting/providing synergy (in objective, programs, outcomes)? (n=3)	Extremely Useful	33.3%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	66.6%
How useful was this session for strengthening <u>your</u> HCGNE? (n=3)	Extremely Useful	33.3%
	Extremely Useful or Very Useful	66.6%
Was the correct amount of time allocated this session? (n=3)	Session Length about Right	100.0%
	Session Too Short	0.0%
	Session Too Long	0.0%
Should this type of session be repeated at future HCGNE annual meetings? (n=3)	Definitely	33.3%
	Definitely or Probably	100.0%
<b>Overall Meeting Evaluation</b>		
How relevant was the session for your HCGNE and its work? (n=3)	Extremely Relevant	33.3%
	Extremely Relevant or Very Relevant	100.0%
How much did the annual meeting match your expectations? (n=3)	Totally	33.3%
	Totally or Very Much	100.0%

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percent of Respondents</b>
What is your view of the focus of the meeting? (n=3)	About Right	66.7%
	Too Broad	33.3%
	Too Narrow	0.0%
Next year, should the meeting be broader (more topics) or narrower (less topics)? (n=3)	About the Same	33.3%
	Broader	0.0%
	Narrower	66.7%
How useful was the session content for helping you personally in activities to meet your HCGNE goals? (n=3)	About right (current 2- day meeting)	66.7%
	Too long by ½ day	0.0%
	Too short by ½ day	33.3%
How long should the meeting be next year? (n=3)	2 days	33.3%

## **Appendix 2: List of Presenters at the Conference**

Presenters at the Conference were:

- Sarajane Brittis, PhD, The John A. Hartford Foundation
- Shirley S. Chater, RN, PhD, FAAN, University of California San Francisco, School of Nursing
- Eleanor Foa Dienstag, Eleanor Foa Associates
- Claire M. Fagin, PhD, RN, FAAN, The John A. Hartford Foundation
- George J. Huba, PhD, The Measurement Group
- Meridean Maas, PhD, RN, FAAN, University of Iowa College of Nursing
- Afaf I. Meleis, PhD, DrPS (hon), FAAN, RN, University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing
- Ellen B. Rudy, RN, PhD, FAAN, National Institute of Nursing
- Neville E. Strumpf, PhD, RN, FAAN, University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing